1

11

2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 IN RE DEBORAH PIMENTEL, No. C 10-01237 WHA 12 Appellant. 13 **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** 14 15 This is the *second* order to show cause filed in this bankruptcy appeal. The first was filed

16 on May 20, 2010, after appellant Deborah Pimentel — who is representing herself in this matter 17 but is also a licensed and practicing California attorney — failed to timely perfect the record as 18 required by Bankruptcy Rule 8006 (Dkt. No. 5). Indeed, Attorney Pimentel filed nothing for 19 almost two months after the instant appeal was noticed, prompting the undersigned to take action.

20 In response to the first order to show cause, Attorney Pimentel provided a number of 21 reasons why she had not perfected the record in a timely manner (Dkt. No. 6). After giving fair 22 consideration to these reasons, the undersigned allowed Attorney Pimentel a generous three additional weeks to perfect the record on her appeal and comply with the procedural requirements 23 24 of Bankruptcy Rule 8006 (Dkt. No. 7). This three-week extension translated to a June 18 25 deadline. Attorney Pimentel was also expressly warned that "if the record on appeal was not 26 perfected by June 18, the matter would be dismissed."

27 It is now Monday, June 21. As of the date of this order, the record on appeal has *still* not 28 been perfected. Due to Attorney Pimentel's continued failure to comply with procedural and

court-ordered deadlines, Attorney Pimentel is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE BY NOON ON
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 23, why this bankruptcy appeal should not be dismissed. If no response to
this order is received by the June 23 deadline, or an inadequate response is provided, the appeal
will be dismissed immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 21, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE