UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

GOODMAN BALL, INC.

Plaintiff,

vs.

MACH II AVIATION, INC.; ESCAPE VELOCITY OF TAMPA BAY, INC.; JOHN STANTON; WALTER HOLMICH; PETER ARGER; and Does 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:10-CV-01249-WHA

PROPOSED ORDER RE SCHEDULE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

DATE: FEBRUARY 9, 2011 TIME: 1:30 PM CTRM: 9, 19th Fl.

Judge: The Honorable William H. Alsup

1	Pursuant to this Court's Case Management Order and Reference to ADR Unit for
2	Mediation issued on September 22, 2010 (Docket No. 37), Plaintiff Goodman Ball, Inc. ("GBI")
3	files and emails this joint Proposed Order Re Schedule for Claim Construction to
4	whapo@cand.uscourts.gov.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2
	TROPOSED ORDER RE SCHEDULE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION-3:10-CV-01249-WHA

PROPOSED ORDER RE ESCHEDULE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

Event	Date
Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions and Accompanying Documents – Patent L.R. 3-1 and 3-2	09/27/10
Disclosure of Preliminary Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Documents– Patent L.R. 3-3 and 3-4	10/13/10 ¹
Exchange of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction – Patent L.R. 4-1(a)	10/20/10
Disclosure of Identity, Qualifications, and Expertise of Expert Witnesses	10/20/10
Exchange of Preliminary Claim Construction and Extrinsic Evidence – Patent L.R. 4-2(a)	11/10/10
Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Patent L.R. 4-3	11/20/10
Claim Construction Prehearing Conference	11/27/10
Expert Witness Reports on Markman Issues	12/27/10
Completion of Claim Construction Discovery Patent L.R.4-4	12/27/10
Plaintiff's Opening Claim Construction Brief Patent L.R. 4-5	01/04/11
Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Opening Claim Construction Brief	01/18/11
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Response	01/25/11
Claim Construction Hearing	02/09/11

¹ By providing this *Proposed Order Re Schedule for Claim Construction*, GBI does not in any way whatsoever consent to allow Defendants to challenge the validity of the '260 patent. In a series of emails and teleconferences, GBI has stated its position that it would object to any challenge by Defendants to the '260 patent in light of the Prior Litigation.

1	SHUTTS & BOWEN	I LLP	AHMADSHAHI & ASSOCIATES
2			
3	Respectfully Submitt	ed,	Respectfully Submitted,
4	/S/John E. Johnson		/S/ Michael M. Ahmadshahi
5	<u>/S/John E. Johnson</u> John E. Johnson		Michael M. Ahmadshahi
6	Attorney for Defendates Seeking Pro Hac Vice	ants e Admission	Attorney for Plaintiff
7	Pursuant to Gen. Or	l. 45XB	
8 9	Michael M. Ahmadsh that concurrence in t this document has be		
10	from the above signa	en obtainea tory.	
11			
12			
13	The proposed claim c	construction sch	edule is APPROVED.
14	IT IS SO ORDERE	D.	
15			
16	Dated: October 8, 2010.		WILLIAM ALSUP
17			UNITED OT ATEC DIOTDICT COUDT
18			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 20			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 20 21			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 20 21 22			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 20 21 22 23			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 20 21 22 23 24			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 			
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	TROFOSED ORD	ER RE SCHEDULE FO	4 R CLAIM CONSTRUCTION-3:10-CV-01249-WHA

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

1

2				
3	I, Michael M. Ahmadshahi, certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing Proposed			
4	Order Re Schedule for Claim Construction was served on the interested parties listed below, via			
5	the Court's Electronic Filing Program, United States Mail, Electronic Mail, and/or any other			
6	manner permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on October 8, 2010.			
7	<u>/S/ Michael M. Ahmadshahi</u> Michael M. Ahmadshahi, Esq.			
8	AHMADSHAHI & ASSOCIATES			
9	Michael M. Ahmadshahi, Esq. (Bar No. 219933) Shana L. Villoria, Esq. (Bar No.261342)			
10	600 Anton Blvd., Ste. 1100 Costa Mesa, CA 92626			
11	Telephone: 714.371.4321 Facsimile: 714.371.4221			
12	Email: mahmadshahi@mmaiplaw.com Email: svilloria@mmaiplaw.com			
13	Attorney for Plaintiff,			
14	GOODMAN BALL, INC.			
15 16	Arthur H. Barens Joe Hariton			
17	Law Offices of Arthur H. Barnes 10209 Santa Monica Blvd.			
18	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 557-0444			
19	Facsimile: (310) 557-1432 Email: barenslaw@aol.com			
20	Email: jhariton@barenslaw.com			
21	John E. Johnson Janelle A. Weber			
22	Shutts & Bowen LLP 100 S. Ashley Drive, Ste. 1500			
23	Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: (813) 229-8900			
24	Facsimile: (813) 229-8901			
25	Attorneys for Defendants Mach II and Escape Velocity in Case No. 3:07-CV-01148-BZ; and Attorneys for Defendants Escape Velocity, Stanton, and Arger in Case No. 3:10-CV-01249-			
26	WHA.			
27				
28				
	5			
	TROPOSED ORDER RE SCHEDULE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION-3:10-CV-01249-WHA			