

1 Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (SBN: 179986)
 Jason A. Davis (SBN: 224250)
 2 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150
 San Jose, California 95125-5120
 3 Voice: (408) 264-8489
 Fax: (408) 264-8487

4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9

10 MARK AARON HAYNIE, BRENDAN
 JOHN RICHARDS, THE CALGUNS
 11 FOUNDATION, INC., and THE
 SECOND AMENDMENT
 12 FOUNDATION, INC.,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General
 of California (in her official capacity)
 and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
 16 OF JUSTICE, CITY OF ROHNERT
 PARK, OFFICER DEAN BECKER
 17 (RP134), and DOES 1 TO 20,

18 Defendants.

19

20 BRENDAN JOHN RICHARDS, THE
 CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.,
 21 and THE SECOND AMENDMENT
 FOUNDATION, INC.,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 vs.

24 KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General
 of California (in her official capacity)
 and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
 25 OF JUSTICE, SONOMA COUNTY
 SHERIFFS' OFFICE, SHERIFFS'
 26 DEPUTY GREG MYERS (SD1023)
 and DOES 1 TO 20,

27 Defendants.

28

Case Nos.: 3:10-CV-01255 SI
 3:11-CV-02493 SI
 (Consolidated Cases)

**STIPULATION and JOINT
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
 Re: CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

Case No.: 3:11-CV-05580 SI
 (Related Case)

**STIPULATION and JOINT
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
 Re: CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED¹ by and between the undersigned parties²
2 through their respective attorneys of record that based on the following set of facts,
3 this Court should reschedule the Case Management Conference current set for
4 January 13, 2012 and make other case management orders:

- 5 1. Plaintiffs have put all current defendants on notice that based on a fourth
6 (4th) false arrest under the California Assault Weapon statutes – out of
7 Sonoma County (Cotati Police Department) – it is highly will likely that a
8 fourth (4th) complaint will be filed in this district and likely related to the
9 current three cases. Plaintiffs anticipate filing this latest action before the
10 end of January 2012.
- 11 2. There is a pending Case Management Conference in the consolidated cases of
12 *Haynie v. Harris* and *Richards v. Harris* set for January 13, 2012. (Case Nos:
13 3:10-CV-01255 SI and 3:11-CV-02493 SI.)
- 14 3. Defendants Rohnert Park and Officer Becker have filed a motion to dismiss
15 (Dkt # 22) in the second *Richards v. Harris* Case No.: 3:11-CV-02493 SI. The
16 motion is currently set for argument on February 24, 2012.
- 17 4. On December 29, 2011, a Clerk's Notice was entered on the Docket (#12) for
18 the second *Richards v. Harris* Case (3:11-CV-05580 SI) setting an initial Case
19 Management Conference in that case for March 30, 2012 at 2:30 p.m.

21 ¹ *Haynie v. Harris*, Case No.: 3:10-CV-01255 SI was ordered consolidated
22 with *Richards v. Harris*, Case No.: 3:11-CV-02493 SI, in an ordered filed on October
23 22, 2011. (See Documents # 42 and #15 respectively. The second *Richards v.*
24 *Harris*, Case No.: 3:11-CV-05580 was ordered to be related with their first two cases
in an order filed on December 21, 2011. (See documents #47 and # 20 respectively.)

25 ² Attorney Anne Keck for the Sonoma County defendants (in 3:11-CV-05580)
26 made an appearance on or about December 22, 2011. Attorney for Plaintiffs Donald
27 Kilmer spoke with Attorney Keck on that day. She indicated that she would be out
28 of her office on mandatory time off until January 6, 2012. She indicated that she
would not be able to meaningfully participate in the Case Management Conference
currently set for January 13, 2012, though she did indicate that she could attend
the conference if it went forward.

- 1 5. Given the recent addition of the Sonoma County Defendants as parties, and
2 the likely addition of the Cotati Police Department & City of Cotati, the
3 looming Case Management Conference and the Rohnert Park Defendant's
4 pending motion, the undersigned parties believe that it would in the best
5 interests of the parties and that it would facilitate judicial economy to
6 reschedule the Jan. 13, 2012 and combine it with the March 30, 2012 Case
7 Management Conference.
- 8 6. The undersigned parties also agree that a coordinated briefing schedule on
9 various motions to dismiss that are anticipated will also facilitate judicial
10 economy and conserve the parties' resources.
- 11 7. To that end the parties agree that the Court should reschedule the Case
12 Management Conference in this matter, currently set for January 13, 2012 at
13 3:00 p.m. to Friday, March 30, 2012 at 2:30 p.m. (This will give Plaintiffs
14 enough time to serve the Cotati Defendants and have them meaningfully
15 participate in the Case Management Conference.)
- 16 8. Furthermore the parties agree that the deadline for all responsive pleadings
17 (answers, Rule 12 motions, etc.) for current defendants are extended to
18 March 16, 2012 (to allow the Cotati Defendants a chance to join any motions
19 filed by the current Defendants.)
- 20 9. Furthermore, the Rohnert Park Defendants' Motion to Dismiss currently set
21 for February 24, 2012 is reset to April 20, 2012 for the purpose of
22 coordinating their motions (if any) with the Sonoma and (the potential)
23 Cotati Defendants; and that due dates for oppositions and replies will be tied
24 to the hearing date instead of the date of filing of any moving papers. (i.e.,
25 opposition memorandums will be due three (3) weeks before the hearing and
26 reply memorandums will be due two (2) weeks before the hearing.)

27 ////

28 ////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Joint Case Management Conference Statement is optional for parties served and/or appearing after January 23, 2012.

4. The motion to dismiss filed by the Rohnert Park defendants, currently set for February 24, 2012, is reset to April 20, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 10, 19th Floor, San Francisco before the Honorable Susan Illston.
5. Any cross-motions shall be filed in accordance with the local rules.
6. For this set of motions to be heard on April 20, 2012, any opposition memorandums and/or supporting documents shall be filed on or before March 30, 2012 and any reply memorandums shall be filed on or before April 6, 2012.
7. The plaintiffs are ordered to serve this Case Management Order with any complaint and summons that is served on any potential defendants who are not parties as of the date of this Order.

Date: 1/4/12



United States District Judge