1	SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD REBECCA A. HULL Bar No. 99802	LLP
2	rebecca.hull@sdma.com	
3	ERIN A. CORNELL Bar No. 227135 erin.cornell@sdma.com	
4	One Market Plaza Steuart Tower, 8th Floor	
5	San Francisco, CA 94105-1008 Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	
8	RICHARD B. ABRAMSON Bar No. 40637	
.9	rba@redshift.com 44 West Alisal Street	
10	Salinas, California 93901 Telephone: (831) 424-9320 Facsimile: (831) 422-2565	
11	Attorney for Defendants	•
12	Elizabeth Bro, Jean Jones, Mary Cunningham, and Teresa Knight	
13	CARRIE R. ZUNICH	
14	Zunrose1@aol.com P.O. Box 636	
15	Nucla, Colorado 81424 Telephone: (970) 864-7772	
16	Defendant, in <i>Pro Se</i>	
17		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20		I
21	METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,	Case No. CV 10-1312 MEJ
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED)
23	,	ORDER TO DEPOSIT FUNDS WITH COURT
24	V.	[FED.R.CIV.P. 67]
25	ELIZABETH BRO; JEAN JONES; MARY CUNNINGHAM; TERESA KNIGHT; and CARRIE ZUNICH,	[FED.R.CIV.F. 0/]
26		
27	Defendants.	
28		

The parties hereby submit the following Stipulation and Proposed Order to deposit funds with the Court into an interest bearing account, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 67:

Whereas, plaintiff Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("MetLife") issued a group life insurance policy to Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"), covering eligible employees and to fund the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Employee Welfare Plan ("the Plan"), an employee welfare benefit plan regulated by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. section 1001, et seq.

Whereas, at all relevant times the Plan afforded coverage to eligible employees of PG&E, including Orlen Jackson Moore ("the Decedent");

Whereas, on or about July 22, 2009, the Decedent died and, at the date of his death, he was a participant in the Plan, as a result of which the life insurance benefits in the total amount of \$39,000 plus any applicable interest, became payable under the terms of the Plan (hereinafter "the Plan Benefits");

Whereas, defendants Elizabeth Bro, Jean Jones, Mary Cunningham, and Teresa Knight on the one hand, and defendant Carrie Zunich on the other hand, have competing claims for the Plan Benefits;

Whereas, MetLife is unable to determine the proper beneficiary or beneficiaries, and therefore has not paid the life insurance benefits to any of the defendants;

Whereas, as a result of these competing claims, MetLife filed a Complaint in Interpleader on March 29, 2010, to determine the lawful distribution of the Plan Benefits;

NOW, THEREFORE, plaintiff MetLife; defendants Elizabeth Bro, Jean, Jones, Mary Cunningham, and Teresa Knight, through their counsel of record herein; and defendant Carrie Zunich, in *pro se*; hereby stipulate and respectfully request the Court to order that MetLife may deposit the Plan Benefits, as to which defendants Elizabeth Bro, Jean Jones, Mary Cunningham, and Teresa Knight on the one hand, and defendant Carrie Zunich on the other hand, have conflicting claims, with the Court;

It is additionally stipulated that, once the Plan Benefits have been deposited, MetLife, the Plan, and PG&E, shall be discharged from this action for all liability for the Plan Benefits

payable as a consequence of the death of the Decedent and dismissed with prejudice, with 1 2 MetLife to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs; 3 It is further stipulated that each of the defendants shall be restrained and enjoined from instituting or prosecuting, directly or indirectly, any claim or action of any type or kind in any 4 5 state or federal court against MetLife, the Plan and/or PG&E, arising from or in any manner connected with the Plan Benefits and/or their respective claims for payment of some or all of 6 7 such Plan Benefits. DATED: September **10**, 2010 8 SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP 9 10 11 Erin A. Cornell Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 Metropolitan Life Insurance Company 13 DATED: September 19, 2010 14 15 Richard Abramson 16 Attorney for Defendants Elizabeth Bro, Jean Jones, Mary Cunningham, and Teresa 17 Knight 18 DATED: September , 2010 19 20 Carrie R. Zunich 21 Defendant, in Pro Se 22 23

24

25

26

	1	payable as a consequence of the death of the Decedent and dismissed with prejudice, with		
	2	MetLife to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs;		
	3	It is further stipulated that each of the defendants shall be restrained and enjoined from		
	4	instituting or prosecuting, directly or indirectly, any claim or action of any type or kind in any		
	5	The Diag and/or DC&E arising from or in any manner		
	6	connected with the Plan Benefits and/or their respective claims for payment of some or all of		
	7	such Plan Benefits.		
	8	DATED: September, 2010 SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP		
	9			
	10	Ву:		
	11	Rebecca A. Hull Erin A. Cornell		
	12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Metropolitan Life Insurance Company		
	13	DATED: September, 2010		
	14			
	15	By:		
	16	Attorney for Defendants Elizabeth Bro, Jean Jones, Mary Cunningham, and Teresa		
	17	Knight		
	18	DATED: September, 2010		
•	19	1		
	20	By: Wrie R Zunich		
	21	Defendant, in Pro Se		
	22			
	23			
	24			
	. 25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
SF/1720603v1		STPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO DEPOSIT FUNDS WITH THE COURT		

SF/1720603v1

ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 67, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff Metropolitan Life Insurance Company shall deposit \$39,000 in life insurance benefits payable under the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Employee Welfare Plan ("Plan") with this Court into an interest bearing account. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company shall deposit said funds within 30 days of receipt of a copy of this Order signed by a Judge of the District Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- 2. Upon deposit of the \$39,000 plus any applicable interest ("Plan Benefits") with the Court, plaintiff Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Employee Welfare Benefit Plan shall be discharged from this action for the Plan Benefits payable as a consequence of the death of Orlen Jackson Moore and dismissed with prejudice, with MetLife to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 3. Each of the defendants is hereby restrained and enjoined from instituting or prosecuting, directly or indirectly, any claim or action of any type or kind in any state or federal court against Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and/or the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Employee Welfare Benefit Plan, with regard to any matter regarding the Plan, the Plan Benefits, and/or their respective claims for payment of some or all of such Plan Benefits.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 21, 2010

H no de le Maria Elena James Unit de States Magistrate Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold LLP, One Market 3 Plaza, Steuart Tower, 8th Floor, San Francisco, California 94105. On September 20, 2010, I served the within document(s): 4 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO DEPOSIT FUNDS WITH 5 COURT FACSIMILE - by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the 6 fax number(s) set forth on the attached Telecommunications Cover Page(s) on this 7 date before 5:00 p.m. \boxtimes MAIL - by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage 8 thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California addressed as set forth below. 9 PERSONAL SERVICE - by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 10 OVERNIGHT COURIER - by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed 11 envelope with shipping prepaid, and depositing in a collection box for next day delivery to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below via. 12 Carrie R. Zunich 13 P.O. Box 636 Nucla, Colorado 81424 14 15 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence 16 for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on 17 motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 18 I declare under penalty of periury under the laws of the State of California that the above 19 is true and correct. Executed on September 20, 2010, at San Francisco, California. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28