## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL HORAN		
Pl	laintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 10-01383 TEH
v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
De	efendant(s).	
Counsel report th following stipulation pur	at they have met and cosuant to Civil L.R. 16-	onferred regarding ADR and have reached the 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to partie	cipate in the following	ADR process:
✓ Early Neu Mediation	ing Arbitration (ADR I tral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 6)	(ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably more likely to	o meet their needs than and may not file this for	ent conference with a Magistrate Judge is a any other form of ADR, must participate in an rm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
<b>Private Process:</b> Private AD	OR (please identify prod	cess and provider)
referring th	ptive deadline (The dea	adline is 90 days from the date of the order cess unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: July 6, 2010		/s/ A.K. Abraham
Dated: July 6, 2010		Attorney for Plaintiff  /s/ Margaret W. Baumgartner Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

## Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order, other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

