E-Filed 6/14/11	
1 JAMES MCMANIS (40958) 2 CHRISTINE PEEK (234573) RUBY H. KAZI (243872) 3 McMANIS FAULKNER A Professional Corporation 5 West San Fernando Street, 10th Floor San Jose, California 95113 5 Telephone: 408-279-8700 Facsimile: 408-279-3244 Email: rkazi@mcmanislaw.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CYNTHIA JOHNSON and JAMONT JOHNSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 CYNTHIA JOHNSON and JAMONT 13 Case No. CV-101437 RS 13 STIPLU ATION AND (PROPOSED)	
14 Plaintiffs, vs. ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINE TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY	ËS
15 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, a public entity,	
16 ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S	
17 OFFICE, a department of the County of Alameda, ALAMEDA COUNTY	
18 SHERIFF'S OFFICE DETENTION AND CORRECTION DIVISION, a department of	
19 the County of Alameda, DEPUTY SHERIFF KEITH W. GILKERSON, an individual, and	
20 DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
21 Defendants.	
22	
23 Plaintiffs, CYNTHIA JOHNSON and JAMONT JOHNSON ("plaintiffs") and	
24 Defendants, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE,	
25 ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE DETENTION AND CORRECTION DIVI	SION,
26 and DEPUTY SHERIFF KEITH W. GILKERSON ("defendants"), by and through their	
27 respective attorneys of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
28	
1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINES TO COMPLETE DISCOVE	RY
Johnson v. County of Alameda, et al., Case No.: CV-101437-RS	kets.Jus

Doc. 62

11.On February 28, 2011, the Court approved the parties' stipulation to complete all2non-expert discovery on or before June 15, 2011.

3 2. On May 6, 2011, the Court approved the parties' stipulation that June 17, 2011,
4 would be the last day to disclose experts and July 8, 2011, would be the date for the close of
5 expert discovery.

6 3. The parties appeared before the Court on June 2, 2011 for oral argument on
7 defendants' motion for summary judgment and plaintiffs' motion seeking leave to amend the
8 complaint.

9 4. The parties are awaiting the Court's rulings on both motions. In light of this, and
10 in contemplation of reaching an agreement to engage in further settlement efforts, the parties
11 have agreed to defer taking some of the depositions already noticed prior to the pending June 15,
12 2011 discovery cutoff. This will prevent the parties from incurring substantial fees and costs that
13 may prove unnecessary and/or will also allow them to take more targeted depositions based on
14 the Court's rulings on the two pending motions.

15 5. The parties have therefore agreed to continue the deadline to complete all
16 depositions properly noticed before the June 15, 2011, discovery cutoff to July 15, 2011. The
17 parties have agreed to no other modification of the pending non-expert discovery cutoff of June
18 15, 2011.

19 6. The parties have further agreed that July 15, 2011, shall be the last day to disclose20 experts.

7. The parties have also agreed that expert discovery shall close on July 29, 2011.

23

///

21

22

- 24 ///
- 25 || ///
- 26 ////
- 27
- 28 ///

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINES TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY Johnson v. County of Alameda, et al., Case No.: CV-101437-RS

1	8. The parties respectfully request that the Court approve this stipulation and			
2	incorporate its terms in an order.			
3				
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
5	DATED: June 14, 2011 McMANIS FAULKNER			
6	/s/ Ruby Kazi			
7	IAMES MOMANIS			
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,			
10	DATED: June 14, 2011 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT			
11	/s/ Michael Wenzel			
12				
13 14	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, ALAMEI	DA		
15	ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S			
16	CORRECTION DIVISION, and DEP	UTY		
17				
18	ORDER			
19	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, and the parties' having stipulated to same,			
20		-		
21	prior to the June 15, 2011, non-expert discovery cutoff is July 15, 2011. The deadline to disclose			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27		E		
28	3			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINES TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY			
	Johnson v. County of Alameda, et al., Case No.: CV-101437-RS			