1		
2		
3 4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	JAMES A. MURPHY,	No. C 10-01568 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
13	J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT SERVICES, INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	ORDER SUBJECT TO STATED CONDITIONS
14	Defendants/ The stipulated protective order submitted by the parties is hereby <b>APPROVED</b> , subject to the following conditions, including adherence to the recently announced strict caution against	
15		
16		
17 18		
10 19	sealing orders by the Ninth Circuit (as set out below):	
20	1. The parties must make a good-faith determination that any	
21	information designated "confidential" truly warrants protection under Rule 26(c)	
22	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Designations of material as	
23	"confidential" must be narrowly tailored to include only material for which there	
24	is good cause. A pattern of over-designation may lead to an order un-designating	
25	all or most materials on a wholesale basis.	
26	2. In order to be treated as confidential, any materials filed with the	
27	Court must be lodged with a request for filing under seal in compliance with Civil	
28	Local Rule 79-5. Please limit your requests for sealing to only those narrowly tailored portions of materials for which good cause to seal exists. Please include	
	all other portions of your materials in the public file and clearly indicate therein	

where material has been redacted and sealed. Each filing requires an individualized sealing order; blanket prospective authorizations are no longer allowed by Civil Local Rule 79-5.

3. Chambers copies should include all material — both redacted and unredacted — so that chambers staff does not have to reassemble the whole brief or declaration. Although chambers copies should clearly designate which portions are confidential, chambers copies with confidential materials will be handled like all other chambers copies of materials without special restriction, and will typically be recycled, not shredded.

4. In Kamakana v. Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006), the Ninth Circuit held that *more* than good cause, indeed, "compelling reasons" are required to seal documents used in dispositive motions, just as compelling reasons would be needed to justify a closure of a courtroom during trial. Otherwise, the Ninth Circuit held, public access to the work of the courts will be unduly compromised. Therefore, no request for a sealing order will be allowed on summary judgment motions (or other dispositive motions) unless the movant first shows a "compelling reason," a substantially higher standard than "good cause." This will be true regardless of any stipulation by the parties. Counsel are warned that most summary judgment motions and supporting material should be completely open to public view. Only social security numbers, names of juveniles, home addresses and phone numbers, and trade secrets of a compelling nature (like the recipe for Coca Cola, for example) will qualify. If the courtroom would not be closed for the information, nor should any summary judgment proceedings, which are, in effect, a substitute for trial. Motions in limine are also part of the trial and must likewise be laid bare absent compelling reasons. Please comply fully. Noncompliant submissions are liable to be stricken in their entirety.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

5. Any confidential materials used openly in court hearings or trial will not be treated in any special manner absent a further order.

6. This order does not preclude any party from moving to undesignate information or documents that have been designated as confidential. The party seeking to designate material as confidential has the burden of establishing that the material is entitled to protection.

7. The Court will retain jurisdiction over disputes arising from the proposed and stipulated protective order for only **NINETY DAYS** after final termination of the action.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 7, 2010.

m Ahme

## WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE