

1 PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS (CA SBN 87607)
 PPreovolos@mofo.com
 2 ANDREW D. MUHLBACH (CA SBN 175694)
 AMuhlbach@mofo.com
 3 HEATHER A. MOSER (CA SBN 212686)
 HMoser@mofo.com
 4 SAMUEL J. BOONE LUNIER (CA SBN 252732)
 slunier@mofo.com
 5 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 425 Market Street
 6 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
 Telephone: 415.268.7000
 7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

8 Attorneys for Defendant
 APPLE INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 CHARLENE GALLION, on behalf of herself and
 all others similarly situated,
 15
 Plaintiff,
 16
 v.
 17
 APPLE INC., a California corporation, and DOES
 18 1-100, inclusive,
 19
 Defendants.

Case No. CV 10-01610-RS

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION RE
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
 TO CONSIDER WHETHER
 CASES SHOULD BE RELATED**

[L.R. 3-12, 7-11]

Judge Richard Seeborg, Courtroom 3
 Complaint Filed: April 15, 2010
 Trial Date: None Set

1 WHEREAS, the action *Christopher Corsi v. Apple Inc. et al.*, Northern District of
2 California, San Jose Division, Case No. CV 10-03316 PVT (“*Corsi*”) was filed on July 28, 2010;
3 and

4 WHEREAS, the *Corsi* action asserts claims against defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) that
5 are substantially similar to the claims asserted in *Charlene Gallion v. Apple Inc.*, Northern
6 District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. CV 10-01610-RS (“*Gallion*”); and

7 WHEREAS, the putative classes in both the *Corsi* and *Gallion* actions substantially
8 overlap; and

9 WHEREAS, the parties believe that the *Corsi* action involves substantially similar
10 questions of law and fact¹ as the *Gallion* action; and

11 WHEREAS, the parties believe the *Corsi* action is a “related case” within the meaning of
12 Local Rule 3-12; and

13 WHEREAS, the parties believe that treatment of the *Corsi* action as related would serve
14 the interests of judicial economy and avoid the potential for conflicting rulings;

15 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that *Christopher Corsi v. Apple Inc. et*
16 *al.*, Northern District of California, San Jose Division, Case No. CV 10-03316 PVT should be
17 related to *Charlene Gallion v. Apple Inc.*, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division,
18 Case No. CV 10-01610-RS.

19 SO STIPULATED.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ¹ Apple does not by this Stipulation concede any of the factual allegations of the cases or
27 that certification of the putative classes is proper under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
28 Procedure.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: August 6, 2010

PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS
ANDREW D. MUHLBACH
HEATHER A. MOSER
SAMUEL J. BOONE LUNIER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Andrew D. Muhlbach
ANDREW D. MUHLBACH

Attorneys for Defendant
APPLE INC.

Dated: August 6 , 2010

JEFFREY L. FAZIO
DINA E. MICHELETTI
FAZIO | MICHELETTI LLP

KIMBERLY A. KRALOWEC
THE KRALOWEC LAW GROUP

EARL L. BOHACHEK
LAW OFFICES OF EARL L. BOHACHEK

By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Fazio
JEFFREY L. FAZIO

Attorneys for Plaintiff in *Gallion v. Apple Inc.*

Dated: August 6 , 2010

ROSE F .LUZON
JAMES C. SHAH
SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER &
SHAH, LLP

STEVEN A. SCHWARTZ
TIMOTHY N. MATHEWS
CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP

By: /s/ James C. Shah
JAMES C. SHAH

Attorneys for Plaintiff in *Corsi v. Apple Inc.*

