

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**\*E-Filed 11/22/11\***

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MARTIN ENG

No. C 10-01776 RS

Plaintiff,

**ORDER NOTICING HEARING**

v.

CARTER HARGRAVE; HARGRAVE  
ARTS LLC; and JEET KUNE DO  
FEDERATION

Defendants.

On November 16, 2011, defendant Carter Hargrave filed a motion to dismiss without properly noticing the motion, as required by Civil Local Rule 7-2 (Notice and Supporting Papers). Because plaintiff is appearing *pro se* in this matter, the pending motion will be considered, despite the procedurally defective notice. Plaintiff is advised, however, that regardless of his *pro se* status, all future filings must comply with the Local Rules. Consistent with Local Rule 7-2, the parties are directed to appear for a hearing on the motion to dismiss on at **1:30 p.m. on December 29, 2011**, in Courtroom 3, 17th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco. Any further filings on the motion to dismiss must, of course, comply with the timing requirements set forth in Local Rule 7-2.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/22/11



RICHARD SEEBORG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

No. C 10-01776 RS  
ORDER

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT A HARD COPY OF THIS ORDER WAS MAILED TO:**

**Martin Eng**  
820 Green Street  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**Carter Hargrave**  
1037 E. 34th Street  
Tulsa, OK 74105

DATED: 11/22/11

/s/ Chambers Staff  
Chambers of Judge Richard Seeborg