Hoyt v. City	nd County of San Francisco et al

Bracamontes & Vlasak A Professional Law Corporation www.bvlawsf.com

D	DC.	18
-		

E-Filed 9/17/10*

1	Michael R. Bracamontes (SBN 242655) Ryan J. Vlasak (SBN 241581)							
2	Kristen M. Ross (SBN 250917) Bracamontes & Vlasak, P.C.							
3	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, CA 94104							
4	Phone: (415) 835-6777 Fax: (415) 835-6780							
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Timothy Hoyt							
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION							
11		/T	CASI	E NO.: 3:10-CV-01778-RS				
12	TIMOTHY HOY			ULATION AND ORDER RE:				
13	Pl	aintiff,	PLA	INTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED				
14	vs	5.						
15	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,			ACTION FILED: April 26, 2010 TRIAL DATE: November 7, 2011				
16	GEORGE GASC and DOES 1 to 2	,						
17 18	D							
10			o this	Stimulation, the parties to this action hereby				
20								
20	_		to subs	titute Hank I um for DOF 1				
22	 Plaintiff may file a first amended complaint to substitute Hank Lum for DOE 1. SO STIPULATED: 							
22								
24	Dated: 09	9/15/10	By:	<u>/s/ Michael Bracamontes</u> Michael R. Bracamontes, Esq.				
25				Attorney for Plaintiff				
26								
27	Dated: 09	9/15/10	By:	_/s/ Kimberly Bliss				
28			29.	Kimberly Bliss, Esq. Attorney for Defendants				
	Hoyt v. City and Co Case No. 3:10-CV-0			Order re: Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint				

*Pursuant to General Order 45, §X.B., the filer of this document attests that he has received the concurrence of this signatory to file this document. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that Plaintiff may file a first amended complaint. Ch & Lat Dated: <u>9/17/10</u> Judge of the Northern Dist - 2 -

Hoyt v. City and County of San Francisco – Stipulation and Proposed Order re: Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Case No. 3:10-CV-01778-RS

Bracamontes & Vlasak A Professional Law Corporation www.bvlawsf.com