

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E-Filed 08/26/11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

TIMOTHY HOYT,

No. C 10-01778 RS

Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO CONTINUE**

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO; GEORGE GASCÓN; and
DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3, plaintiff moves to enlarge the time for the filing of his Opposition to defendants' motion for partial summary judgment and for the corresponding hearing. According to plaintiff's counsel, due to unforeseen scheduling changes, he will be at trial in an unrelated matter at the time plaintiff's Opposition is due. Counsel also states that he sought a stipulation from defendants, but has not yet heard back. Good cause having been shown, plaintiffs' request for a two week extension in the schedule on defendants' motion for summary judgment is granted. Plaintiff's Opposition shall be due by September 15, 2011. Defendants' Reply is now due on September 22, 2011. The hearing on September 22, 2011 is continued to October 6, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/26/11



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE