

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOHAMED ABOKASEM, et al.,

No. CV-10-1781 MMC

Plaintiffs,

**JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE**

v.

ROYAL INDIAN RAJ INTERNATIONAL  
CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

**( ) Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

**(X) Decision by Court.** This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

**IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED**

1. Plaintiffs Rathnakumar Badhan and Manonmani Thangapandian shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of \$190,841.75, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$141,204.11 and prejudgment interest of \$49,637.64.
2. Plaintiffs Krishna, Vidya, and Archana Kudva shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of \$295,349.40, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$209,407.00 and prejudgment interest of \$85,942.40.
3. Plaintiffs Sujoy Majumdar and Madhumita Sarkar shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of \$211,182.00, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$147,819.75 and prejudgment interest of \$63,362.25.
4. Plaintiffs Ajith and Radhika Nair shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of \$302,849.10, constituting the sum of the following: their initial deposit of \$130,987.00, prejudgment interest of \$45,643.04 thereon, their second deposit of

- 1 \$100,000.00, and prejudgment interest of \$26,219.06 thereon.
- 2 5. Plaintiffs Ranjit and Jiji Nair shall have judgment against defendants in the  
3 amount of \$466,416.11, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$339,608.00  
4 and prejudgment interest of \$126,808.11.
- 5 6. Plaintiffs Krishna and Radhika Shankar shall have judgment against defendants in  
6 the amount of \$313,455.12, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$215,250.00 and  
7 prejudgment interest of \$98,205.12.
- 8 7. Plaintiff Mohamed Abokasem shall have judgment against defendants in the amount  
9 of \$109,377.68, constituting the sum of his deposit of \$80,431.00 and prejudgment  
10 interest of \$28,946.68.
- 11 8. Plaintiff Vinay Dilawri shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of  
12 \$104,260.58, constituting the sum of his deposit of \$76,614.00 and prejudgment  
13 interest of \$27,646.58.
- 14 9. Plaintiff Ashley Gilson shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of  
15 \$49,687.76, constituting the sum of her deposit of \$36,537.00 and prejudgment  
16 interest of \$13,150.76.
- 17 10. Plaintiff Greg Gilson shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of  
18 \$49,729.82, constituting the sum of his deposit of \$36,537.00 and prejudgment  
19 interest of \$13,192.82.
- 20 21 11. Plaintiff Anil Mital shall have judgment against defendants in the amount of \$306,003.84,  
22 constituting the sum of his deposit of \$224,852.00 and prejudgment interest of  
23 \$81,151.84.
- 24 25 12. Plaintiffs Ravinder and Hemant Prakash shall have judgment against defendants in the  
26 amount of \$69,519.60, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$51,076.00 and  
27 prejudgment interest of \$18,443.60.
- 28 13. Plaintiffs Indrajit and Sushma Roy shall have judgment against defendants in the

1 amount of \$48,108.06, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$33,215.00 and  
2 prejudgment interest of \$14,893.06.<sup>1</sup>

3 14. Plaintiffs Dhiraj and Jyoti Tyagi shall have judgment against defendants in the amount  
4 of \$170,810.40, constituting the sum of their deposit of \$122,484.00 and prejudgment  
5 interest of \$48,326.40.<sup>2</sup>

6 15. With the exception of plaintiffs Dhiraj and Jyoti Tyagi, all plaintiffs shall jointly have  
7 judgment against defendants in the total amount \$500,000 as punitive damages, said  
8 sum to be allocated by and among plaintiffs in accordance with their proposed judgment.  
9 (See Doc. No. 142, filed July 10, 2012.)

10 16. The judgment shall bear interest at the rate provided by law. See 28 U.S.C. § 1961  
11 (setting forth means of calculation for postjudgment interest).

12  
13  
14 Dated: December 12, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

15  
16 

17 By: Tracy Lucero

18 Deputy Clerk  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 <sup>1</sup>  
26 Interest on said award and on each of the awards set forth above is calculated  
27 pursuant to the California Constitution, Article 15, Section 1. See id. (providing rate of  
28 prejudgment interest for claims sounding in tort.)

<sup>2</sup>  
Interest on said award is calculated pursuant to California Civil Code Section  
3289. See id. (providing rate of prejudgment interest for claims sounding in contract).