1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIA LAFEVER)
12	<pre>Plaintiff(s),</pre>))) No. C10-01782 BZ
13	V.) NO. CIU-UI/82 B2
14 15	ACOSTA, INC., a Delaware Closed Corporation, also d/b/a ACOSTA TRUEDEMAND,)) SECOND DISCOVERY ORDER
16 17	LLC; and also d/b/a ACOSTA MILITARY SALES, LLC; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	/)))
18	Defendant(s).))
19		1
20	On March 16, 2011, I ordered whichever party had a record	
21	of the meet and confer session between the parties at which	
22	they attempted to resolve the current discovery dispute, to	
23	lodge a copy of that record with the Court by noon on March 18,	
24	2011. All the Court received was a series of positioning	
25	letters and e-mails between the parties. The Court deduces	
26	from that that the parties never met and conferred as required	
27	by paragraph 2 of the Pretrial Scheduling Order (Docket No.	
28	30).	

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the telephone conference	
2	scheduled for Wednesday, March 23, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. is taken	
3	off calendar. The Court will not attend to this matter until	
4	the parties have met and conferred in accordance with the	
5	Pretrial Scheduling Order.	
6	Dated: March 21, 2011	
7	Cemara Jimmeman	
8	Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	g:\bzall\-bzcases\LaFever v. Acosta\Disc Ord 2.wpd	