

EXHIBIT P

From: Anna Galloway [agalloway@hausfeldllp.com]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 10:35 AM
To: Sacks, Luanne; Ott, Carter
Cc: James Pizzirusso
Subject: Letter re Sony PS3

Attachments: Ltr re Sony PS3.pdf
SENT ON BEHALF OF JAMES PIZZIRUSSO

Anna Galloway, Legal Secretary
agalloway@hausfeldllp.com
202.540.7162 ph



1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650, Washington, DC 20006
202.540.7200 main / 202.540.7201 fax / www.hausfeldllp.com

This electronic mail transmission from Hausfeld LLP may contain confidential or privileged information.
If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by reply transmission and delete the message without copying or disclosing it.

James Pizzirusso
jpizzirusso@hausfeldllp.com

October 4, 2010

Via Email and Federal Express

Luanne Sacks, Esquire
DLA Piper LLP
555 Mission Street, Suite 2400
San Francisco, CA 94105-2933

Re: *In re Sony PS3 "Other OS" Litig.*, No. 3:10-cv-01811-RS (N.D.Cal.)

Dear Luanne:

Pursuant to Magistrate Judge Chen's Standing Orders, we would like to set up a time for an in-person meet and confer to discuss the following topics for which Plaintiffs plan to seek Court guidance to the extent the parties cannot agree (all of which we have previously raised):

1. SCEA's request for preservation of the Plaintiffs' PS3 units (including the request that the Plaintiffs no longer "use" their PS3s during the course of this litigation). Plaintiffs believe that this request is improper and this preservation is not required under the Federal Rules.
2. SCEA's request to depose the previously named class representatives and designation of these individuals as witnesses. It is Plaintiffs' position that these individuals are absent class members and should not be subject to discovery.
3. SCEA's request to seek discovery regarding the Meiselman "hacking" issue and designation of Rebecca Coll and Jeffrey Carton as witnesses. Plaintiffs do not believe that this issue bears any relevance to the claims or defenses in this case and oppose any related discovery.

Please let us know your availability in the next week or two.

Finally, Carter Ott previously suggested that we defer an ESI meeting until after the parties exchanged Rule 26 disclosures. Now that this exchange has occurred and given our pending document requests, we would like to have this meeting as soon as possible so as to avoid any delays in production. We do not believe it needs to be

10/4/2010

Page 2

coordinated with the meet and confer about the issues raised above. Please let us know when your availability to discuss this issue, as well.

Sincerely,

/S/ _____
James Pizzirusso