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## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re SONY PS3 "OTHER OS" LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3:10-CV-01811 RS (EMC)
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL

Date: $\quad$ February 9, 2011
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen
Courtroom: C

Defendant Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC ("SCEA") respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of certain documents and information cited in its Motion to Compel, filed herewith.

## I. REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, SCEA requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following documents, each of which is attached to the accompanying Declaration of Carter Ott ("Ott Declaration").

1. System Software License Agreement (Version 1.4) for the PlayStation®3 System. Ott Declaration, Exhibit A.
2. Terms Of Service And User Agreement for the PlayStation®Network, Version 7.0. Ott Declaration, Exhibit B.
3. A screenshot from the website of Meiselman Denlea Packman Carton \& Eberz P.C. Ott Declaration, Exhibit QQ.

## II. JUDICIAL NOTICE IS APPROPRIATE

A. The Court May Take Judicial Notice Of Documents Incorporated By Reference In The Consolidated Complaint

Federal Rule of Evidence 201 allows a court to take judicial notice of, inter alia, adjudicative facts "not subject to reasonable dispute in that [they are] . . . capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Here, the Court may take judicial notice of these documents, attached to the Ott Declaration, as they are not subject to reasonable dispute and are capable of accurate and ready determination by reference to the Internet. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]Dated: December 15, 2010
DLA PIPER LLP (US)

By: /s/ Luanne Sacks
LUANNE SACKS
Attorneys for Defendant SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ United States v. Ritchie, 342 F.3d 903, 908 (9th Cir. 2003); Wible v. Aetna Life Ins. Co., 375 F. Supp. 2d 956, 965 (C.D. Cal. 2005) (courts regularly take judicial notice of matters available on the Internet); Caldwell v. Caldwell, 2006 WL 618511 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 13, 2006); O’Toole v.
    Northrop Grumman Corp., 499 F.3d 1218, 1225 (10th Cir. 2007); Highfields Capital Mgmt., L.P. v. Doe, 385 F. Supp. 2d 969, 971-72 (N.D. Cal. 2005); Ligotti v. Garofalo, 562 F. Supp. 2d 204, 212 (D.N.H. 2008) (taking judicial notice of four comments made on Internet blog).

