EXHIBIT C

	Case3:10-cv-01897-SC Document1 F	iled04/30/10 Page1 of 17		
1 2 3 4	Charles S. Bishop, CSB No. 99335 cbishop@connbish.com Connor & Bishop 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1750 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone 415.434.3006 Facsimile 415.434.1445			
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs			
6	·			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20	JASON BAKER, SEAN BOSQUETT, FRANK BACHMAN, PAUL GRAHAM, and PAUL VANNATTA, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, vs. SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA, LLC successor to SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA, INC. Defendant.	COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
21	Plaintiffs bring this class action complain	t and allege as fallows:		
22				
23 24				
25				
26				
20 27	online gaming service, PlayStation Network, mult			
28	PS3 could run a Linux operating system that transforms the PS3 into a home computer. Because o			
	COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
	Baker v. Sony Computer Entertainment Page	-1-		

such exceptional features, the PS3 was the most expensive gaming console on the market when launched in 2006.

- 2. Sony has now intentionally disabled valuable functions of the PS3 for which consumers paid a premium price over other gaming consoles. This retroactive crippling PS3 functionality breaches the contract between Sony and its PS3 customers, breaches the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and violates the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act and Unfair Competition Law.
- 3. Plaintiffs seek to represent a class of all persons in the United States who purchased a PS3 during the period beginning November 17, 2006 through March 27, 2010, and still owned their PS3 as of March 27, 2010 ("Class"). Plaintiffs seek to recover for themselves and each Class member compensatory damages, restitution, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, and the costs of this suit.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff JASON BAKER is a resident of the State of North Dakota. Mr. Baker purchased a PS3 on or about March 15 or 16, 2007 for \$599.99 plus tax.
- 5. Plaintiff SEAN BOSQUETT is a resident of the State of Florida. Mr. Bosquett purchased a PS3 on or about September 6, 2008 for \$426.93 including tax.
- 6. Plaintiff FRANK BACHMAN is a resident of the State of South Carolina. Mr. Bachman purchased a PS3 on or about January 1, 2009 for \$385.19 including tax.
- 7. Plaintiff PAUL GRAHAM is a resident of the State of Michigan. Mr. Graham purchased a PS3 during the class period.
- 8. Plaintiff PAUL VANNATTA is a resident of the State of Wisconsin. Mr. VanNatta purchased a PS3 on or about July 13, 2008 for \$422.39 including tax.
- 9. Defendant Sony develops, markets, and sells PlayStation gaming consoles, including the "fat" PS3 at issue in this litigation. Defendant is a Delaware company headquartered in Foster City, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d), as at least

one Class member is of diverse citizenship from Sony, there are more than 100 members of the proposed Class, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million.

- 11. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2), as a substantial part of the acts, events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in the Northern District of California.
- 12. Venue is also proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) because Sony's corporate headquarters and principal place of business is in the Northern District of California.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

13. Pursuant to Local Rules 3-2(c) and 3-5(b), this action should be assigned to the San Francisco Division of the Northern District because Sony resides in San Mateo County and a substantial part of the acts, events, or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in San Mateo County.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Defendant was founded in 1994 as the North American division of Sony Computer Entertainment, Inc., and according to its website, is responsible for the "continued growth of the PlayStation® market in the United States and Canada."
- 15. In 1995, the original PlayStation game console was introduced in the United States. More than 100,000 units were sold during its debut weekend and more than one million units were sold within the first six months.
 - 16. The "fat" PS3 was manufactured, marketed and sold as having the following features:

"In addition to playing games, watching movies, listening to music, and viewing photos, you can use the PS3TM system to run the Linux operating system. By installing the Linux operating system, you can use the PS3TM system not only as an entry-level personal computer with hundreds of familiar applications for home and office use, but also as a complete development environment for the Cell Broadband EngineTM (Cell/B.E.)."

http://www.playstation.com/ps3-openplatform/index.html

17. Sony said:

"By installing the Linux operating system, you can use the PS3TM system not only as an entry-level personal computer with hundreds of familiar applications for home and office use, but also as a complete development environment for the Cell Broadband EngineTM

Sony's own manual says:

"It was fully intended that you, a PS3 owner, could play games, watch movies, view photos, listen to music, and run a full-featured Linux operating system that transforms your PS3 into a home computer."

COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

24.

26

27

28

http://www.gamespot.com/news/6162316.html?tag=result;title;0

25. As detailed above, Sony engaged in a long term advertising campaign in which it uniformly and repeatedly represented that the PS3 has the ability to install "Other OS" (operating systems such as Linux) and can operate as a computer. While the "fat" PS3 does not include Linux pre-installed, Sony included an option in the XMB menu to install other operating systems such as Linux.

http://manuals.playstation.net/document/en/ps3/current/settings/osinstall.html

26. Sony's own manual says:

"It was fully intended that you, a PS3 owner, could play games, watch movies, view photos, listen to music, and run a full-featured Linux operating system that transforms your PS3 into a home computer."

http://www.gamespot.com/news/6162316.html?tag=result;title;0

- 27. Sony sold the "fat" PS3 with the intention that reasonable consumers would rely on their material representations about PS3 functions and features. It should come as no shock that Plaintiffs and the Class actually relied upon their representations and purchased a "fat" PS3 expecting those features. Plaintiffs and the Class actually relied upon Sony's representations and purchased a "fat" PS3 expecting those features, and would not have purchased a PS3 at the price paid without those features.
- 28. Sony recently affirmed it's continued commitment to support the full features on the "fat" original PS3 units via mailing list posts:
 - "The feature of "Install Other OS" was removed from the new "Slim" PS3 model to focus on delivering games and other entertainment content. Please be assured that SCE is committed to continue the support for previously sold models that have the "Install Other OS" feature and that this feature will not be disabled in future firmware releases. Although it's disappointing that Sony have removed the feature from new models, It's good to have this public assurance from Sony that at least the feature won't be removed from older models which are already working. Please understand that in my position as PS3-Linux maintainer I can really only provide users with technical support for Linux and the LV1 heall interface. The text above was provided to me by SCE management. If you have any questions regarding it or any other feature of the PS3 please contact the Playstation Customer Support in your country. Using Playstation

Customer Support will insure your inquiry is processed through the correct channels within SCE. -Geoff'

http://lists.ozlabs.org/pipermail/cbe-oss-dev/2010-February/007202.html

29. Less than one month later, Sony reversed its position by announcing that the "Other OS" capability of the original model PS3s would be removed with PS3 Firmware 3.21 on April 1, 2010.

http://blog.eu.playstation.com/2010/03/29/ps3-firmware-3-21-coming-april-1st/

30. On April 1, 2010, Sony released Firmware update 3.21 for the PS3, which mandates:

"The next system software update for the PlayStation 3 (PS3) system will be released on April 1, 2010 (JST), and will disable the "Install Other OS" feature that was available on the PS3 systems prior to the current slimmer models, launched in September 2009. This feature enabled users to install an operating system, but due to security concerns, Sony Computer Entertainment will remove the functionality through the 3.21 system software update.

In addition, disabling the "Other OS" feature will help ensure that PS3 owners will continue to have access to the broad range of gaming and entertainment content from SCE and its content partners on a more secure system. Consumers and organizations that currently use the "Other OS" feature can choose not to upgrade their PS3 systems, although the following features will no longer be available:

- 1. Ability to sign in to PlayStation Network and use network features that require signing in to PlayStation Network, such as online features of PS3 games and chat.
- 2. Playback of PS3 software titles or Blu-ray Disc videos that require PS3 system software version 3.21 or later.
- 3. Playback of copyright-protected videos that are stored on a media server (when DTCP-IP is enabled under Settings).
- 4. Use of new features and improvements that are available on PS3 system software 3.21 or later."

http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-43/#comments

EXAMPLES OF CONSUMER FRUSTRATION

31. In essence, Plaintiffs are given a Hobson's choice in which they either irrevocably lock out the other operating system/home computer feature of their "fat" PS3, or keep their other operating system/home computer functionality and lose the ability to play new games, upcoming

32. The fact that consumers reasonably expected to have and retain full PS3 functionality after purchase is reflected in the following owner complaints:

I bought a PlayStation 3 for \$600 US Dollars on November 17, 2006 advertised as a Computer Entertainment System with a feature that allowed consumers to install Linux as an operating system. This feature was called Other OS from system menu which allowed users to use the machine not just as a console but also as a computer. On April 1, 2010 Sony updated the console's firmware and removed this feature and no longer can the console be used as a computer. The console which I bought for 600 US dollars has now the same features as the newer cheaper low end models....I am seeking a new firmware which will put back the promised feature that was once advertised as being part of the product or a refund.

http://forums.gametrailers.com/thread/why-are-people-so-pissed-about/1045716?page=4

I'll start by saying I have been a loyal sony customer and have bought all their systems at launch since the ps1. they have done a few dirty things but it wasn't until today until my eyes finally opened to see what an evil company full of liars sony really is. I mean this isn't the first time sony has lied to us, but to me this is the same as theivery. I bought a ps3, waited a week in freezing rain and paid 600 dollars for it under the impression I would have a system that could use linux, I've spent YEARS learning and playing with linux on my ps3, and 3 years later sony steals it back. A FEATURE THAT THEY ADVERTISED. I feel like I've been stabbed in the back by my best friend. I was the one who was defending the ps3 from all the haters during its first couple years when it had pretty much no games. I hope sony realizes they have pulled a benedict arnold and have betrayed the most loyal of their consumers with this move. now I have to buy a new ps3 to keep the feature? HA! no more, sony. enough is enough. I'm contacting the better business bureau today to see what can be done about this treason. also I'm not updating my system and I plan on selling it in the very near future if something isn't done.

http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/Former-Sony-supporters/td-p/4546886 4/page/9;jsessionid=5CDBFE6684B1F4FE71318BCE7D0D7352

I don't know how you figure. It absolutely entered my cost benefit analysis when choosing between PS3 and Xbox360. The PS3 needed every advantage it could get at launch and running linux was something the others could not claim. Remember, at the time there was no reason to believe that Blu Ray was going to be the standard. The only real advantage the PS3 had over the Xbox was Other OS. Xbox had more gamers and more games, still does. Xbox was already established in the market and many people had friends who were already using it. Both do High def 720p vs 1080p big deal, regardless image quality has been proven exactly the same time and again at all the review sites. Both have online features, Xbox is paid but the PS3 cost twice as much for the machine. Xbox had and still has the advantage with developers, see Carmack's latest statement on PS3 development. PS3 could run Linux, Xbox had no answer.

It factored into my decision and you'd be silly to think that it didn't factor into other people's decision as well since the PS3 had many disadvantages.

COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

26 27

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

1 http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/conclusion-about-Other-OS-removal/td -p/45482145/page/4;jsessionid=C4EA02F5E4DC23A3D2BE40112FC6E8D7 (message 36; at 04-05-2 2010 01:30 PM) 3 I see in the news today that Sony is forcing me to make the decision: Keep my OtherOS install (software that I paid for) or keep my DLC working (other software 4 that I paid for) -- It seems that soon enough I will not be permitted to keep both. This is an outrageous decision by Sony to be stripping away software that I have already 5 purchased. I am posting here to express my extreme disappointment in Sony over this decision. 6 Like other features present in earlier models, Sony was honest and upfront about the 7 fact they would be removing OtherOS support from their newest incarnation. When the Slim PS3 was announced, I purposely bought the 80GB "fat" PS3 so that way I could run the OtherOS. The OtherOS feature played a significant role in my decision 8 to purchase Sony over "that other box". 9 Since buying the Sony box I've made numerous purchases from the PSN Store as well. Now Sony is telling me that I have to choose which purchases to give up 10 because they aren't going to let me keep both. I am not sure how Sony believes they are entitled to revoke either one of these features: I have paid for my PS3 and the 11 OtherOS, I continue to pay for PSN Content and I have never violated any of their ToS or given them any other reason to disable my account and/or the software that 12 I have already purchased. "Disgusted" is the best way to summarize my sentiments towards Sony at this time. 13 Why would I continue to purchase software from either a retailer or the PSN Store 14 when Sony believes they can take it back at any time they like? What prevents Sony from disabling other features that I paid for with my PS3, such 15 as the media player, USB Storage support or heaven forbid even the Bluray drive? At this point in time the only decision I am sure of is to halt purchasing any PS3 16 hardware, retail games or any DLC from the PSN Store. I am not going to give another dime to a company who believes they have the right to remotely disable my 17 software or hardware when I have done nothing wrong. I expect Sony to address these legitimate concerns shared by myself and countless others. 18 19 Incredibly disappointed with my choice to purchase Sony, -Brandon 20 http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/Not-Happy-About-OtherOS-Removal/t d-p/45450175 21 put linux on my ps3 cause I could. Now my hard drive is partitioned and what is the 22 use of reformatting when all my copy protected stuff ain't gonna backup? Go buy a slim just to keep my files? FU sony this blows. I said it on the blog and I'll say it 23 here: Worst update EVER. Message no. 5,03-29-2010at 11:55A.M 24 available at: 25 http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/Not-Happy-About-OtherOS-Removal/t d-p/45450175/page/6 26 You can't compare the removal of backwards compatability with the removal of 27 OtherOS support. Sony never took backwards capability away form users that had it included in their system. They had to purchase a system that did not included 28 backwards compatability. Here they are removing a feature that was originally part of the purched unit. Its kind of like going out and buying a PS3 with a 160gig hdd

and then sony changing the OS to only support hdd of size 10. You bought a unit with 160 gig hdd you expect to be able to access and use all 160 gigs not only 10 gigs. 03-29-2010 02:09 PM; Message 71 at:

3

http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/Not-Happy-About-OtherOS-Removal/td-p/45450175/page/8

5

6

7

I am also very unhappy with this move on Sony's part. I bought the PS3 as both a Linux system, so I could learn how to develop on the Cell BE, and as a gaming system. I was very happy with Sony's progressive move in allowing Linux to be installed. Now they force me into this dilemma: Keep my Cell BE devleopment environment and lose access to PSN, or keep my access to PSN and lose my devevelopment environment. I am officially an unhappy customer. I want to use your fine products, Sony. I really do. But forcing me into a decision where I lose half the value of my system is destined to make me look elsewhere in the future. Please reverse this decision, and give those of us who enjoy gaming and using Linux on your system a reason to be happy with you. 03-29-2010 03:49 PM Message 82

8

http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/Not-Happy-About-OtherOS-Removal/td-p/45450175/page/9

11 12

10

Dear Sony, I am deeply disappointed to see that you will be removing the other OS feature from my PS3. It really bothers me as a consumer that you would advertise a feature for the PS3 such as this and then take it away a few years later. I feel like I have been very loyal to you as a customer by purchasing a PS, PS2, PS3, PSP, LCD TV, home theater system, and countless games and other accessories from you. The fact you would remove the other OS feature and call it optional is like a slap in the

13 14

15

.16

17

face after my years of support to the company. I bought my PS3 a few months after having my computer stolen and the ability to install linux and satisfy my basic home computing needs convinced me that I could afford to buy a PS3 for \$500. Since that time I have bought many blu ray movies and games for my PS3. So for me to lose either gaming/movies/PSN access would be devastating as would losing word processing/online banking/enhanced internet browsing. As a longtime loyal customer, I really hope you reconsider removing this feature you promised when I bought my system. 03-30-2010 07:47 PM Message108 at

18 19

http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/Not-Happy-About-OtherOS-Removal/t d-p/45450175/page/11

20 21

22

23

24

This is exactly the point I've been trying to get across. linux functionality is a huge part of my decision. its removal is nothing other than bait & swich, which btw IS illegal. as is being blackmailed 2 either give in or be effectively banned from psn for it. linux was also part of my decision to choose PS3 over that other system. Since sony has revoked my legal right to use what I paid for and used legally I have revoked sonys right to sell me more of their products. As I've previously stated I will be making thousands of dollars of electronics purchases over the next 12 months, sales that will now be going directly to sony's competitors instead of sony. The moral of this lurid tale that sony failed to heed is "screw they customer & screw thyself"04-01-2010 11:10 PM Message 120 at

2526

http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/Not-Happy-About-OtherOS-Removal/t d-p/45450175/page/12

2728

I have been a follower of Playstation products from PSX to PS2, to PSP, to PS3. I have bought ALL of your systems, in fact multiple PSPs (diff generations) and I must

say, I am VERY dissapointed in how this is turning out. My god Sony, this is like THEFT. I bought the console knowing that I could use it as a console / computer / BluRay play combo. Now, if you took away say, Bluray playing; now THAT would be the exact same thing you are doing here; removing a much touted feature that was on the box and advertised. Sony, think long and hard about this.

http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-132/#comments #6589 on April 7th, 2010 at 8:00 am

People would probably be more upset if all of them realized this update is essentially the same as having someone break into your home and threaten you into letting them throw away a computer that you Purchased from them¼They're just breaking into your house and holding your family and friends (PSN) hostage until you agree to throw that cell processor based linux PC with an endless supply of free open-source applications¼ out into the trash! (even though physically it's going to continue to take up space in your living room as a gaming system¼Yep, there's definitely a reason to be a little ticked off.. and if companies can do this to their customers now, then the future seems pretty damn bleak. Message 6194 April 4th, 2010 at 8:56 pm available at

http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-124/#comments

This is ridiculous decision by Sony. I'm never buying a Sony product again. As a consumer we make our purchases based on features and functionality being advertised. When I purchased my PS3 this feature was one which swayed my decision to buy a PS3. Taking away a feature we paid for and expected after dishing out a ridiculous amount of money for the console; then only to take it away in this update is ethically and morally wrong. Sony should be ashamed. In addition to deny us the ability to play the games we purchased already unless we update is bs. This is the last straw for me. After the 3 day outage that occurred a month or two ago this was their 3rd strike on my list. I'm gonna trash my ps3 and go get a xbox. Message no. 5903, April 3rd, 2010 at 10:22 am available at

http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-119/# comments

I bought a 60GB Playstation(R)3 in 11/07 for \$500 because it was marketed as being able to be used as a computer by installing another operating system to it. This feature was highly publicized and played a pivotal role in my decision to buy the product. The "System Update" version 3.21, which was released on April 1, 2010 did not add any features or security patches. The 3.21 update disables the "Other OS (Operating System)" feature. If you do not download and install the "Update" you are not allowed to play games online, which is also a key feature. Thank you for your time. Message no.5725, April 2nd, 2010 at 4:20 pm available at

http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-115/#comments

Here's a big screw u Sony from me & all the other linux PS3 users out there! The two reasons I purchased an original PS3 was for the BC & Linux abilities. I really hope someone sues them for this. FW updates continue to kill peoples consoles, I've had to pay three times now to have it repaired. How the hell can you sell something then take away its abilities? It's like selling a car & then removing the dashboard. Someone needs to start regulating this sort of thing so these big companies stop screwing over their customers. If you don't update you can't use any online features which are also

a part of what the PS3 is supposed to do.Really, really sick of this continuing gabage!* Would love to have used much stronger words in this post!!! Message no. 2137 on March 29th, 2010 at 11:23 pm available at:

http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-115/#comments

Believe it or not some of us are actually educated consumers. When the PS3 was launched I read the entire box, I researched online, I watched Tv Shows about it, I read magazines, I looked on websites 4so YES I did know about the "other OS" option! Are you a SONY Tool? I love their machines I've had PS1,PS2,& PS3's never owned an xbox. Don't you get the principle of right & wrong? It's as simple as THIS: I bought something. It said it would do something & now it won't = wrong. Message no. 2143, March 29th, 2010 at 11:22 pm available at:

http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-43/#comments

When I purchased my ps3, I was definitely under the impression that the linux capability would never be removed. When the slim came out, Sony repeatedly stated that this was true, putting me under an even stronger impression that this would never, and could never be removed. Then they removed it citing vague "security" reasons. That is not and should not be my concern, that should be Sony's concern. Taking away something I already own is not a valid solution. It definitely does reduce the value of the ps3 from what it originally was. Depending on how much people use this feature, people with have different opinions as to how much the value has been reduced. There is no argument anyone can give me that will convince me that my ps3 would not lose value no matter if this update is applied or not. The very existence of the update itself causes a loss of value, as there is no way to retain the original value of your ps3 at purchase, which included this feature, and allowed online games, etc. By value I don't mean cost, but functionality, which is what I used to determine whether the initial cost of the ps3 was worth it or not. 04-02-2010 04:21 AM Message 521 at

http://boardsus.playstation.com/t5/PlayStation-3-Updates/FW-3-21-and-Consumer-Right-s-Law-No-Conjecture-Just-Facts/td-p/45454241/highlight/true/page/53

Apparently, contacting the Better Businesss Bureau is a waste of time as Sony has a "F" rating with them.

http://www.bbb.org/greater-san-francisco/business-reviews/computers-software-and-services/sony-computer-entertainment-america-in-foster-city-ca-16128

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 33. Plaintiffs bring this action on their own behalf and, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of a nationwide Class of all persons who purchased a PS3 during the period from November 17, 2006 through March 27, 2010, and who still owned their PS3 as of March 27, 2010.
- 34. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and any Sony parent, subsidiary, or affiliate of Sony, any entity in which Sony has or had a controlling interest, or which Sony otherwise controls

- 40. By forcing purchasers to give up the use of PS3 functions for which they paid, Sony has materially breached its contract with Plaintiffs and the Class.
- 41. Sony has breached the parties' contract, forcefully withdrawn part of the benefit of the bargain, and is liable to Plaintiffs and the Class.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 42. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 41 as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of Sony's actions, Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered, and continue to suffer, injury in fact and have lost money.
- 44. Plaintiffs and the Class purchased PS3s with the expectation that they would be able to continue to use all original functions for as long as they owned their PS3.
- 45. Sony has forced purchasers to give up some of the functions and performance for which they contracted. Consequently, Plaintiffs and the Class have not received the benefit of their bargain with Sony, and the essential purpose of the PS3 sales contract has been frustrated.
- 46. Sony has therefore breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing and is liable to Plaintiffs and the Class.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (California Unfair Competition Law)

- 47. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 46 as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. California's Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq., prohibits any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice."
- 49. Sony promoted the availability of the Other OS feature, as well as other PS3 features, and support of those functionalities by Sony. Sony has unilaterally withdrawn that availability and support, and Plaintiffs and the Class have thereby been deprived of the benefit of their bargain. Sony's conduct is fraudulent under the Unfair Competition Law.
- 50. Forcing purchasers to choose between the Other OS function and gaming features is unfair because the injury to consumers is substantial, is not outweighed by any countervailing COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

money.

51. Plaintiffs and the Class lost money by purchasing a PS3 without receiving the benefit of their bargain because the product is not what it was claimed to be – a game console that would provide both the Other OS feature and gaming functions.

benefits to consumers or Sony's competition, and is not an injury consumers themselves could

reasonably have avoided. As a result of Sony's wrongful conduct, the Plaintiffs and the Class lost

- 52. Sony's advertisements concerning the PS3 were untrue, deceptive and/or misleading. Sony's advertisements induced Plaintiffs and the Class to make purchases they would not have made if they had been in possession of all of the material facts.
- 53. As a direct and proximate consequence of Sony's conduct, Plaintiffs and the Class suffered an ascertainable loss of money, including but not necessarily limited to the purchase price of PS3s, the amount of such loss to be determined at trial.
- 54. By reason of the foregoing, Sony is liable to Plaintiffs and the Class for restitution, including a sum equal to the amount of a refund of all monies acquired by reason of Sony's sale of PS3s.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act)

- 55. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 54 as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. In making the representations and omissions described herein, Sony violated California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act by representing that the PS3 had characteristics, uses, or benefits which it did not have, in violation of Civil Code §1770(a)(5). Specifically, Sony represented that the PS3 had the Other OS feature while simultaneously omitting the material fact that the Other OS feature would likely not be available in the future. Therefore, Plaintiffs and members of the Class seek appropriate injunctive relief.
- 57. Sony also violated Civil Code §1770(a)(19) by inserting one or more unconscionable provisions into a contract. Sony's insertion of the following clauses into the System Software License Agreement was unconscionable:

"Some services may change your current settings, cause a loss of data or content, or cause some loss of functionability."

"SCE, at its sole discretion, may modify the terms of this Agreement at any time, including any terms in the PS3TM system documentation or manual, or at http://www.scei.co.ip/ps3-license/index.html. Please check back on this website from time to time for changes to this Agreement. Your continued access to or use of the System Software will signify your acceptance of any changes to this Agreement."

- 58. Sony used its superior bargaining strength to impose those terms upon customers, and customers had no meaningful choice whether to accept or reject these provisions. The System Software License Agreement was the product of oppression and the lack of negotiation, not any meaningful choice.
- 59. After contracting for the purchase of a PS3, Plaintiffs and the Class had no ability to negotiate the System Software License Agreement's terms, which was only provided to them after they purchased the PS3.
- 60. In addition, Sony placed these provisions within its small-type, prolix form, under unclear headings.
 - 61. These provisions are, accordingly, procedurally unconscionable.
- 62. Sony sought by these terms to create for itself an unlimited ability to alter the System Software License Agreement and the functions of the PS3 as it saw fit and without any consideration to Plaintiffs or other Class members.
- 63. These provisions are one-sided, unreasonably favorable to Sony, uniquely favor Sony at the expense of customers, and Sony clearly buried these terms in its standard-form contract to gain unfair advantage over its customers.
- 64. These terms are substantively oppressive because they reallocate risk between consumers and Sony in an objectively unreasonable and unexpected manner by permitting Sony to change the System Software License Agreement and the PS3 functionalities to protect its interests in complete derogation of the rights of consumers.
 - 65. Consequently, these provisions are unduly oppressive and unconscionable.
- 66. Therefore, if Sony seeks to defend its conduct based on these provisions, Plaintiffs and the Class request injunctive relief requiring Sony to cease enforcement of the unconscionable

	Case3:10-cv-01897-SC Document1 Filed04/30/10 Page17 of 17			
1	contract provisions.			
2	RELIEF REQUESTED			
3	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs ask the Court to enter judgment against Sony as follows:			
4	A. Certify this action as a class action, pursuant to Rule 23(a) and 23(Certify this action as a class action, pursuant to Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the		
5	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;	s of Civil Procedure;		
6	B. Award Plaintiffs and the Class all appropriate remedies, includ	Award Plaintiffs and the Class all appropriate remedies, including but not		
7	limited to damages;			
8	C. Restitution of all or part of the money paid and disgorgement of	Restitution of all or part of the money paid and disgorgement of all profits		
9	unjustly retained by Sony;			
10	D. Plaintiffs' injunctive relief;	•		
11	E. Interest, costs and attorneys' fees; and	Interest, costs and attorneys' fees; and		
12	F. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper	Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.		
13	DATED: April 30, 2010 Connor & Bishop			
14				
15	By: Charles S. Bishop, Esq.			
16				
17				
18	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY			
19	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby demand a tria			
20				
21				
22				
23	Charles S. Bishop, Esq.	······································		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			

Page -17-

Baker v. Sony Computer Entertainment