have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and could testify competently to them if called upon to do so.

- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff Anthony Ventura's Motion for Order Finding Cases Related, to Consolidate Related Cases, and for Appointment of Calvo & Clark, LLP ("Calvo & Clark") and Meiselman, Denlea, Packman, Carton & Eberz P.C. ("MDPCE") as Interim Co-Lead Counsel.
- 3. Calvo & Clark's attorneys are trial lawyers with substantial experience and knowledge regarding the prosecution of complex class actions. Based on their experience and abilities, Calvo & Clark and MDPCE and can efficiently and effectively represent the interests of the members of the proposed class in these actions.
- 4. I joined Calvo & Clark in April 2010. Prior to joining, Calvo & Clark, I was a partner with the law firm of Moscone Emblidge & Quadra, LLP ("MEQ") in San Francisco. I founded MEQ in 1998 and since that time have devoted a large percentage of time to litigating plaintiffs' class actions.
- 5. I graduated from U.C. Berkeley's Board Hall School of Law in 1987. From 1990 to 1998, I was a deputy city attorney with the San Francisco City Attorney's Office. From approximately 1994 to 1998, I was the Chief of General Litigation at the San Francisco City Attorney's Office and oversaw approximately 30 deputy city attorneys involved in a range of litigation on behalf of San Francisco, including class actions.
- 6. I have extensive litigation experience and have successfully tried cases in state and federal courts, including two class action trials resulting in liability findings.
- 7. I am AV® rated by Martindale Hubell. At the requests of the Consumer Attorneys of California, the San Francisco City Attorney's Office, the San Mateo County Bar Association, and CEB, I have made presentations on various topics including topics related to consumer class actions in California.
- 8. I serve as a mediator for the San Francisco Bar Association Early Settlement
  Program and the San Francisco Superior Court Pre-Arbitration Settlement Program. I am also a
  member of the Board of Directors of the San Francisco Legal Aid Society-Employment Law

Center and the Chairperson of the San Francisco Unified School District's Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee.

- 9. My present firm, my prior firm and/or I have served as class counsel or lead class counsel in multiple matters. Many of these cases provided an opportunity for me to work cooperatively with other law firms. Examples of class actions I have litigated, or am currently litigating, include the following:
  - a. <u>Create-A-Card v. Intuit</u>, No. 07-6452 (WHA) (N.D. Cal.). While at MEQ. I was coclass counsel representing a nationwide class in an action alleging that Intuit's faulty programming caused QuickBooks for Mac users to lose the contents of their desktops. The action was certified and settled last year for full reimbursement of all out of pocket costs paid to third parties by class members to recover data, plus additional amounts to compensate class members for in-house recovery and reconstruction efforts.
  - b. Old Republic Title Company Cases, J.C.C.P. No. 4111; (Wisper v. Old Republic Title Company, et al.) MEQ, along with two other firms, represented a class comprised of customers of escrow services throughout California who were charged reconveyance fees for services not provided and who did not receive interest on escrow funds. The class settled a portion of the case for more than \$3 million. The trial regarding the remaining claims resulted in judgment for customers of escrow services throughout California of \$14 million. I was one of trial attorneys who tried the case.
  - c. <u>Vienna Hall, et al., v. Cinema 7, Inc., et al.</u>, S.F. Sup. Ct. No. 02-409105. I was class counsel representing a class of approximately 400 current and former performers who alleged that their employer violated provisions of the California Labor and Business & Professions Codes. I was appointed lead counsel. The liability phase of the trial proceeded to trial in 2007. The Class obtained a judgment regarding liability. We reached a settlement thereafter with a value of approximately \$3,740,000, which the Court approved.
  - d. <u>In Re Complete® Cases</u>, J.C.C.P. 4521 (<u>Lazar et al vs. AMO, Inc. et al.</u>, O.C.S.C. No. 07-CC-01296). Calvo & Clark represents a class of consumers throughout the California who purchased AMO's Complete Moisture Plus contact lens solution, alleging violations of

California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17200 *et seq.*), False Advertising Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17500 *et seq.*) and California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act. The action has been certified and is currently scheduled for trial in November 2010 before Judge David Velasquez in Orange County Superior Court. I am colead counsel.

- e. <u>Ruiz v. Advanced Medical Optics, Inc.</u>, O.C.S.C. No. 30-2008-00231301. Calvo & Clark represents a putative class of consumers throughout the California who purchased AMO's Easy Rub contact lens solution, alleging violations of California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17200 *et seq.*), False Advertising Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17500 *et seq.*) and California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act. The action is pending in Orange County Superior Court.
- e. <u>ADT Security Services Commission Cases</u>, J.C.C.P. 4404 (<u>Kelly v. ADT Security Services</u>, <u>Inc.</u>) I was co-class counsel for a class of 900 employees who filed suit for an alleged improper policy of recouping commissions previously paid to class members violated the California Labor Code. The case settled in 2006 for \$965,000.
- f. <u>Doe vs. Darkside Productions, Inc.</u>, S.F. Sup. Ct. No. 05-439667. I was class counsel for a class of approximately 2000 advertisers that were charged more for web advertising based on gender. The Court approved a settlement with a value of approximately \$1 million.
- g. MCC CASES I, II and III, J.C.C.P. Nos. 4173, 4178 and 4181 (Vignolles v. FMC Corporation). MEQ was class co-counsel representing a class of indirect purchasers of microcrystalline cellulose, which is a binding agent used in the manufacture of pharmaceutical tablets. The class alleged violations of the Cartwright and Unfair Competition Acts by manufacturers of microcrystalline cellulose. The San Francisco Superior Court granted final approval of the settlement of the action in the amount of \$2,500,000.
- h. <u>California Indirect Purchaser Microsoft I-V Cases</u>, J.C.C.P. 4106 (<u>AO/NET v.</u> <u>Microsoft</u>.) Action proceeded in San Francisco. MEQ along with numerous law firms represented indirect purchasers of Microsoft software who allege violations of the Cartwright

Act and unfair competition laws. The class obtained a settlement worth over \$1 billion for California purchasers.

- i. <u>Doe v. Gold Club</u>, S.F. Sup. Ct. No. 04-431683. I was class counsel representing a class of approximately 300 performers who alleged that their employer violated provisions of the California Labor and Business & Professions Codes. The San Francisco Superior Court granted final approval of a settlement with an approximate value of \$3,260,000.
- j. <u>Electrical Carbon Product Cases</u>, J.C.C.P. 4294 (<u>City and County of San Francisco v. Morganite, Inc., et al.</u>) MEQ, along with other firms, was counsel for a class of individuals and entities throughout California that purchased electrical carbon products allegedly at artificially inflated prices. A related federal matter settled for \$24 million. The state court settlements totaled \$1,935,000. I was one of the lead attorneys that negotiated the settlements with defendants.
- k. <u>Jefferson v. Chase Home Finance Group</u>, N.D. Cal. No. C 06 06510 TEH. MEQ represented a class of borrowers throughout California who made prepayments on notes to Chase that Chase wrongfully failed to apply promptly to mortgage accounts. Plaintiff alleged violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act, False Advertising Law, Unfair Competition Law, and Conversion. The parties reached a settlement which was approved by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- l. <u>Ruelas v. Top Productions, Inc.</u>, S.F. Sup. Ct. No. 10-496879. Calvo & Clark represents a putative class of laborers for violations of California's wage and employment laws. The case is pending in San Francisco Superior Court.
- 10. Calvo & Clark is a well-established law firm that specializes in complex litigation, including cross border litigation. The firm has offices in San Francisco, Guam and Saipan. Founded in 1992, the firm continues to grow and expand, and is well positioned to finance this action. The firm's attorneys are well-recognized and respected.
- 11. Kathleen Fisher, the managing partner of Calvo & Clark's San Francisco office, is also working on this matter. Ms Fisher is experienced in complex and class action litigation. She was formerly worldwide Chair of Morrison & Foerster's Litigation Department and a member of

Morrison's Board of Directors. Ms. Fisher has authored books and numerous articles on trial and ADR topics. She is also a frequent lecturer and panelist on a variety of topics in the civil litigation area for organizations such as the National Institute for Trial Advocacy, the American Bar Association, Continuing Education of the Bar, and the Northern District of California Federal Practice Program. The Daily Journal recently named Ms. Fisher one of California's top women litigators for 2009.

12. Calvo & Clark has devoted significant time conducting research regarding claims and details of the PS3's functionalities, and in consulting with MDPCE regarding appropriate next steps to move this litigation forward. Calvo & Clark is fully able to, and prepared to, finance this class action litigation, and to coordinate efforts to ensure that the matters are prosecuted efficiently. The attorneys at Calvo & Clark are experienced with working with all of the most commonly used document and record management electronic databases, including iCONECT, Summation, Concordance, and LiveNote. Calvo & Clark is also committed to ensuring that all qualified firms representing potential class members have an opportunity to participate in the prosecution of this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 20, 2010

/s/ James A. Quadra James A. Quadra

## ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE

I, Rebecca M. Coll, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file the Certificate of Service. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that James A. Quadra has read and approved this Declaration of James A. Quadra and consents to its filing in this action.

Dated: May 20, 2010

MEISELMAN, DENLEA, PACKMAN, CARTON & EBERZ P.C.

/s/ Rebecca M. Coll
Rebecca M. Coll