Attorneys for Plaintiff AUTODESK, INC.  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  AUTODESK, INC., a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiff, Plaintiff, V.  HAMILTON/ITS, INC., a Georgia corporation,  Defendant.  CASE NO. C10-01821-VRW  STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT HAMILTON/ITS, INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  [CIVIL L.R. 6-1(a)] NO HEARING REQUIRED
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  AUTODESK, INC., a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiff, Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT HAMILTON/ITS, INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  HAMILTON/ITS, INC., a Georgia corporation,  Defendant.  Defendant.
AUTODESK, INC., a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiff,  V.  HAMILTON/ITS, INC., a Georgia corporation,  Defendant.  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  CASE NO. C10-01821-VRW  STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT HAMILTON/ITS, INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  [CIVIL L.R. 6-1(a)]  NO HEARING REQUIRED
AUTODESK, INC., a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiff,  V.  HAMILTON/ITS, INC., a Georgia corporation,  Defendant.  CASE NO. C10-01821-VRW  STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT HAMILTON/ITS, INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  [CIVIL L.R. 6-1(a)]  NO HEARING REQUIRED
AUTODESK, INC., a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiff,  V.  HAMILTON/ITS, INC., a Georgia corporation,  Defendant.  CASE NO. C10-01821-VRW  STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT HAMILTON/ITS, INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  [CIVIL L.R. 6-1(a)]  NO HEARING REQUIRED
Plaintiff,  V.  DEFENDANT HAMILTON/ITS, INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  [CIVIL L.R. 6-1(a)]  NO HEARING REQUIRED
15 v.  16 HAMILTON/ITS, INC., a Georgia corporation,  17 Defendant.  18 COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  [CIVIL L.R. 6-1(a)]  NO HEARING REQUIRED
17 Defendant.  NO HEARING REQUIRED
Defendant.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

CASE NO. C10-01821-VRW

## Case3:10-cv-01821-VRW Document9 Filed05/24/10 Page2 of 2

Pursuant to Civil J.R. 6-1(a), plaintiff Autodesk, Inc., by and through counsel, and 1 2 defendant Hamilton/ITS, Inc., hereby stipulate that defendant Hamilton/ITS, Inc., shall have up to and including Monday, June 14, 2010, to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint on file 3 herein. The purpose of the extension is to provide the parties with an opportunity to discuss 4 settlement without incurring unnecessary legal fees and expenses. Such an extension will not 5 have any impact on the Case Management Conference or any other scheduled dates set by the 6 7 Court. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 Dated: May 24, 2010 9 DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP 10 11 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff AUTOĎESK, INC. 13 Dated: May 21, 2010 HAMILTON/ITS, INC. 14 15 16 17 18 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS 19 20 Hon. Vaughn R. Walker United States District Court Judge 21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28