

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
 ATTEST
 By Tarrell L. Littleton on May 26, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

May 11, 2010

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

FILED

JUN - 1 2010

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-24)

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 432 additional actions have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.


Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

May 26, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:


 Jeffrey N. Luthi
 Clerk of the Panel

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
 By 
 Deputy Clerk
 Date 6/1/10

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

SCHEDULE CTO-24 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN	
CAN 3 10-1869	Naomi Lopiccocoli v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20307-DRH-PMF
CAN 3 10-1870	Jack Eureka v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20308-DRH-PMF
CAN 3 10-1871	Fiona Turley v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20309-DRH-PMF
CAN 3 10-1880	Janel Young v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20310-DRH-PMF
CAN 3 10-1886	Kelly Brockman v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20311-DRH-PMF
INDIANA NORTHERN	
INN 3 10-44	Sharmika Cusic-Mason v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. 10-20312-DRH-PMF
NEW YORK EASTERN	
NYE 2 10-1915	Jennifer Alessi, et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. 10-20313-DRH-PMF
NEW YORK SOUTHERN	
NYS 1 09-9108	Sarah Neal v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20314-DRH-PMF
OKLAHOMA NORTHERN	
OKN 4 10-239	Robert King, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20315-DRH-PMF
OKN 4 10-252	Jessica Pryor v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20316-DRH-PMF
OREGON	
OR 3 10-302	Debra Sonner, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20317-DRH-PMF
TENNESSEE MIDDLE	
TNM 3 10-440	Kristin L. Burch, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20318-DRH-PMF