

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE M. MITCHELL,

No. C 10-01953 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER DISMISSING CASE**

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
MENTAL HEALTH, *et al.*,

Defendants.

---

On July 19, 2010, *pro se* plaintiff George Mitchell was ordered to show cause to why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute (Dkt. No. 15). Plaintiff was ordered to file a written response explaining why he did not file an opposition brief to defendants' motion to dismiss and why the motion should not be granted. A generous two and a half week deadline was given to plaintiff to respond. Plaintiff, however, was warned that failure to respond by August 5 will result in the immediate dismissal of his case. It is now August 6 and no response has been filed. For want of prosecution, this case is **DISMISSED. THE CLERK SHALL CLOSE THE FILE.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 6, 2010.



---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE