

1 Alan Harris (SBN 146079)
 2 David Zelenski (SBN 231768)
 HARRIS & RUBLE
 6424 Santa Monica Boulevard
 Los Angeles, California 90038
 Telephone: 323.962.3777
 Facsimile: 323.962.3004
 aharris@harrisandruble.com
 atreanor@harrisandruble.com

6 David S. Harris (SBN 215224)
 NORTH BAY LAW GROUP
 116 East Blithedale Avenue, Suite 2
 Mill Valley, California 94941
 Telephone: 415.388.8788
 Facsimile: 415.388.8770
 dsh@northbaylawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 TAMARAH R. SEIELSTAD, individually
 and on behalf of all others similarly
 situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AEGIS SENIOR COMMUNITIES, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 09-01797 MMC

**[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

Assigned to Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

20 KENNYON MORRIS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AEGIS SENIOR COMMUNITIES, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 10-2060 MMC

Assigned to Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

Date: September 30, 2011

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: Courtroom 7, 450 Golden Gate
Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102

1 In accordance with the Order Granting Final Approval of Class-Action Settlement
2 (“Final Approval Order”) entered by the Court in this action, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

3 1. The two Settlement Classes conditionally certified in the Preliminary
4 Approval Order are confirmed. Specifically, Settlement Class A consists of all current
5 and former non-exempt, hourly paid California employees of Defendant performing care-
6 manager duties in the position of lead care manager, care manager, medical care
7 manager, and medical technician from April 24, 2005, to and including April 15, 2011;
8 Settlement Class B consists of all former California employees of Defendant who were
9 terminated from Defendant’s employment between the time period April 24, 2005, to and
10 including April 15, 2011.

11 2. Judgment is hereby entered dismissing the above-captioned actions against
12 Defendant on the merits and with prejudice and without the payment of fees or costs
13 other than as provided in the Settlement referred to in the Final Approval Order.

14 3. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court hereby
15 retains continuing jurisdiction over (a) the implementation of the Settlement as described
16 in the Final Approval Order, (b) the payment of the amount of reasonable attorney’s fees
17 and costs to be awarded to Class Counsel as provided for in the Settlement, and (c) all
18 Parties hereto for the purpose of administering the Settlement and enforcing the terms of
19 this Judgment.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 DATED: January 17, 2012

23 
24 U.S. District Court Judge