| 1 | MICHAEL D. BRUNO (SBN 166805) | |
|----------|--|---|
| 2 | JEROME SCHREIBSTEIN (SBN154051) GORDON & REES LLP | |
| 3 | Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 | |
| 4 | San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 986-5900 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (415) 986-8054 | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Defendants THE REGENTS (UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, TODD N | |
| 7 | JAMES JACOBS (EXEMPT FROM FEES, 6103) | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | DAVID A. STEVENS (SBN 054635) 3115 Fourth Avenue | |
| 10 | San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: (619) 260-0507 | |
| 11 | Facsimile: (619) 297-5908 | |
| 12 | EHUD GERSTEN (SBN 236159) | |
| 13 | Gersten Law Group 3115 Fourth Avenue | |
| 14 | San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: (619) 600-0098 | |
| 15 | Attorneys for Plaintiff NETTA GRUTMAN | |
| 16 | - | |
| 17 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 18 | | TRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 19 20 | NETTA GRUTMAN, | CASE NO. 10-02347 JCS |
| 21 | Plaintiff, | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ON DISMISSAL OF ACTION |
| 22 | v. | ON DISMISSAL OF ACTION |
| 23 | THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY | |
| 24 | OF CALIFORNIA, et al., | |
| 25 | Defendants. | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | 1 |
| | STIPULATION AND ORDER O | N DISMISSAL (CASE NO. 10-02347 JCS) |
| 1 | | |

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| 1 | Dated: October 6, 2011 GERSTEN LAW GROUP |
|----------|---|
| 2 | By: /S/ |
| 3 | EHUD GERSTEN Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 4 5 | NETTA GRUTMAN |
| 6 | Dated: October, 2011 |
| 7 | By: /S/ DAVID A. STEVENS |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff NETTA GRUTMAN |
| 9 | ORDER |
| 10 | BASED ON THE FOREGOING AND GOOD CAUSE OTHERWISE APPEARING, the |
| 11 | Court Orders that: |
| 12 | 1. Plaintiff's first (for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)) and |
| 13 14 | second (for violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973) claims for relief are hereby dismissed |
| 15 | with prejudice. |
| 16 | 2. This matter is hereby remanded to the Superior Court, County of San Francisco fo |
| 17 | further proceedings. |
| 18 | 3. All parties retain their rights to seek the recovery of legal fees and costs at the |
| 19 | conclusion of this matter except that no party shall be entitled to nor shall any party seek to |
| 20 | recover its costs or legal fees incurred with respect to the following: (1) defendants' removal of |
| 21 | this action from the State Court to the federal district court, (2) plaintiff's voluntary dismissal of her federal claims, and (3) the remand of this matter to the State Court. No party waives its rights |
| 22 | to seek and recover legal fees and costs for the proceedings in federal district court, other than as |
| 23 | to the specific proceedings associated with the original removal and the subsequent dismissal of |
| 24 25 | the federal claims and remand of the action. |
| 26 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 27 | Dated: By: |
| 28 | Honorable Joseph C. Spero United States Magistrate Judge |
| | STIPULATION AND ORDER ON DISMISSAL (CASE NO. 10-02347 JCS) |

| 1 | Dated: October, 2011 GERSTEN LAW GROUP |
|----------|--|
| 2 | By: /S/ |
| 3 | EHUD GERSTEN Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 4 | |
| 5 | NETTA CRUTMAN |
| 6 | Dated: October 3, 2011 By: /S/ |
| 7 | DAVID A. STEVENS |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff NETTA GRUTMAN |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ORDER |
| 11 | BASED ON THE FOREGOING AND GOOD CAUSE OTHERWISE APPEARING, the |
| 12 | Court Orders that: |
| 13 | 1. Plaintiff's first (for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)) and |
| 14 | second (for violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973) claims for relief are hereby dismissed |
| 15 | with prejudice. |
| 16 | 2. This matter is hereby remanded to the Superior Court, County of San Francisco for |
| 17 | further proceedings. |
| 18 | 3. All parties retain their rights to seek the recovery of legal fees and costs at the |
| | conclusion of this matter except that no party shall be entitled to nor shall any party seek to |
| 19 | recover its costs or legal fees incurred with respect to the following: (1) defendants' removal of |
| 20 | this action from the State Court to the federal district court, (2) plaintiff's voluntary dismissal of |
| 21 | her federal claims, and (3) the remand of this matter to the State Court. No party waives its rights |
| 22 | to seek and recover legal fees and costs for the proceedings in federal district court, other than as |
| 23 | to the specific proceedings associated with the original removεl and the subsequent dismissal of |
| 24 | the federal claims and remand of the action. |
| 25 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 26 27 | Dated: 11/7/11 By: \$ |
| 28 | Hold Judge Joseph C. Spero gero |
| | STIPULATION AND ORDER ON DISMISSAL (CASE NO. 10-02347) (CS) |