

1 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP  
 2 MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127)  
 (rhodesmg@cooley.com)  
 3 MATTHEW D. BROWN (196972)  
 (brownmd@cooley.com)  
 4 BENJAMIN H. KLEINE (257225)  
 (bkleine@cooley.com)  
 5 101 California Street  
 5th Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111-5800  
 6 Telephone: (415) 693-2000  
 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222  
 7

8 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP  
 9 SARAH R. BOOT (253658)  
 (sboot@cooley.com)  
 4401 Eastgate Mall  
 San Diego, CA 92121-1909  
 10 Telephone: (858) 550-6000  
 Facsimile: (858) 550-6420  
 11

12 Attorneys for Defendant  
 YELP! INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 15 WESTERN DIVISION

16 CATS AND DOGS ANIMAL  
 17 HOSPITAL, INC., et al., on behalf of  
 itself and all others similarly situated,  
 18  
 Plaintiffs,  
 19  
 v.  
 20 YELP! INC.,  
 21  
 Defendant.  
 22

Case No. CV 10-01340 VBF(SSx)  
**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
 DEFENDANT YELP! INC.'S MOTION  
 TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST  
 AMENDED COMPLAINT**  
 Judge: Hon. Valerie Baker Fairbank  
 Hearing Date: May 3, 2010  
 Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

1 This motion of Defendant Yelp! Inc. (“Yelp”) to dismiss Plaintiffs’ First  
2 Amended Complaint was heard on May 3, 2010 at 1:30 p.m. in this Court. Counsel  
3 for Yelp and for Plaintiffs were in attendance and presented oral arguments.  
4 Having considered the parties’ papers filed in support of and in opposition to the  
5 motion, oral argument, and all other pleadings and papers on file herein, the Court  
6 finds as follows:

7 1. With respect to the first claim for relief for violation of California  
8 Penal Code sections 518 and 519 (Extortion), Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon  
9 which relief may be granted and fail to plead with the particularity required by  
10 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b).

11 2. With respect to the second claim for relief for violation of California  
12 Penal Code section 524 (Attempted Extortion), Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon  
13 which relief may be granted and fail to plead with the particularity required by  
14 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b).

15 3. With respect to the third claim for relief for Intentional Interference  
16 with Prospective Economic Advantage, Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon which  
17 relief may be granted and fail to plead with the particularity required by Federal  
18 Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b).

19 4. With respect to the fourth claim for relief for violation of the Unfair  
20 Competition Law, California Business and Professions Code section 17200,  
21 Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and fail to plead  
22 with the particularity required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b).

23 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

24 1. Yelp’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint is  
25 **GRANTED**; and

26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. All claims for relief as to Yelp are hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice without leave to amend.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Valerie Baker Fairbank  
United States District Judge