1	THE WESTON FIRM	
2	GREGORY S. WESTON (239944)	
2	JACK FITZGERALD (257370)	
3	888 Turquoise Street	
4	San Diego, CA 92109	
4	Telephone: (858) 488-1672	
5	Facsimile: (480) 247-4553 greg@westonfirm.com	
6	jack@westonfirm.com	
0	Jack & Westommi.com	
7	BECK & LEE BUSINESS TRIAL LAWY	ERS
8	JARED H. BECK (233743)	
	ELIZABETH LEE BECK (233742)	
9	28 West Flagler Street, Suite 555	
10	Miami, FL 33130 Telephone: (305) 789-0072	
	Facsimile: (786) 664-3334	
11	jared@beckandlee.com	
12	elizabeth@beckandlee.com	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed C	<u>Classes</u>
	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
14	CENTRAL DISTRIC	
15	CATS AND DOGS ANIMAL HOSPITAL,	Case No: 2:10-cv-01340-VBF-SS
16	INC., et al., on behalf of themselves and all	Case No. 2.10-ev-01340- VB1-33
10	others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' NON-OPPOSITION
17	,	TO DEFENDANT YELP! INC.'S
18	Plaintiffs,	MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE
10	v.	
19		Hearing Date: May 10, 2010
20	YELP! INC.,	Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.
		Judge: Hon. Valerie Baker Fairbank
21	Defendant.	
22	CHRISTINE LaPAUSKY d/b/a D'AMES	Case No. 2:10-cv-01578-VBF-SS
	DAY SPA, on behalf of herself and all	
23	others similarly situated,	
24	Dlaintiff	
	Plaintiff, v.	
25	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
26	YELP! INC.,	
	<u> </u>	
27	Defendant.	
28	1	

Defendant Yelp! Inc. ("Yelp") wastes the Court's time with the present motion to consolidate when Plaintiffs have repeatedly notified counsel for Yelp they are willing to stipulate to consolidation.

On May 16, counsel for Plaintiffs in *Cats and Dogs* met with, and were retained by, the sole Plaintiff in the later-filed *LaPausky* copycat action, filed a notice of substitution of counsel, and as counsel for Plaintiffs in *both* actions again sought to stipulate to consolidation. Yelp refused to agree to stipulation over the phone that day, and has yet to respond to a proposed stipulation sent via e-mail the next day.

Thus, Plaintiffs favor the first part of Yelp's proposed order, that:

1. The following related cases shall be consolidated for all purposes: Cats and Dogs Animal Hospital, Inc. et al. v. Yelp! Inc., No. CV 10-01340-VBF(SSx) (C.D. Cal. filed Feb. 23, 2010); and Christine LaPausky d/b/a D'ames Day Spa v. Yelp! Inc., No. CV 10-01578-VBF(SSx) (C.D. Cal. filed Mar. 3, 2010).

Where the parties disagree is whether Plaintiffs needlessly must file a "consolidated complaint." Plaintiffs' position is that the extensive, detailed *Cats* and *Dogs* First Amended Complaint ("FAC") should serve as the consolidated complaint, and the *LaPausky* Complaint, which is a verbatim copycat of the initial *Cats and Dogs* Complaint, be stayed.

If the Court were to ignore the maxim that "plaintiff is the master of the complaint" and accede to Yelp's dilatory request by ordering Plaintiffs to "file a consolidated and superseding amended complaint within 14 days" all plaintiffs will do is refile the FAC exactly as it is now, except with the phrase "Consolidated Complaint" on its cover. Yelp would then, according to its proposed order, have an additional 21 days to respond to the renamed but identical complaint.

¹ Holmes Group, Inc. v. Vornado Air Circulation Sys., 535 U.S. 826, 832 (2002) (citation omitted)