For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	LIMITED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	
7	THEODORE SIMPKINS
8	Plaintiff, No. C-10-02353-EDL
9	v. ORDER CONTUINING HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS
10	SOUTHERN WINE AND SPIRITS OF AMERICA, INC., et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	This is a complaint for declaratory relief and damages that was removed to this Court on
14	May 28, 2010 on the basis of fraudulent joinder. On June 1, 2010, Defendants filed a Motion to
15	Dismiss Pursuant to the First-to-File Rule, and on June 7, 2010 also filed a Motion to Dismiss
16	Pursuant to Rule 12. On June 10, 2010, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Remand on the basis that the non-
17	diverse defendant was properly named and therefore this Court lacks diversity jurisdiction over this
18	matter. The three motions are set for hearing on July 20, 2010.
19	Because the Court's resolution of Plaintiff's Motion to Remand could obviate the need for it
20	to consider Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, the Court finds it appropriate to continue the hearing
21	on Defendants' motions until after its resolution of the remand motion. While there is some overlap
22	between the issues raised in the Motion to Remand and the Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12,
23	the Court finds that judicial efficiency will be served by hearing the remand motion first. In making
24	this determination, the Court expresses no view whatsoever on the merits of any of the three motions
25	pending before it. If Plaintiff's Motion to Remand is denied, Defendants' Motions to Dismiss will
26	be promptly re-set on the Court's calendar.
27	
28	Dated: July 7, 2009 Elijah D. Lande ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE United States Magistrate Judge