

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 JOSEPH CORENO,

No. C 10-2372 SI (pr)

9 Plaintiff,

ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES

10 v.

11 Dr. LAWRENCE GAMBOA;
12 Dr. SEPULVEDA, C.M.O.,


13 Defendants.
14 _____/

15 Plaintiff has filed a request for a 90-day extension of the deadline to file his opposition
16 to defendants' motion for summary judgment. (Docket # 18.) A 90-day extension is not
17 warranted under the circumstances mentioned in plaintiff's request. Most notably, plaintiff has
18 not shown specific discovery needs that warrant such a long extension and, as defendants
19 suggest, the fact that they raised a qualified immunity defense in their motion for summary
20 judgment means that they likely will be entitled to a stay of discovery if they request it. Upon
21 due consideration of plaintiff's request, the court GRANTS a 60-day extension of the deadline.
22 The court now sets the following new briefing schedule on the motion for summary judgment:

- 23 1. Plaintiff must file and serve on defense counsel his opposition to the motion for
24 summary judgment no later than **October 7, 2011**.
25 2. Defendants must file and serve their reply brief (if any) no later than **October 24,**
26 **2011**.

27 IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: September 2, 2011



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge