

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH CORENO,
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-2372 SI (pr)

ORDER OF SERVICE

v.

Dr. LAWRENCE GAMBOA;
Dr. SEPULVEDA, C.M.O.,
Defendants.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

INTRODUCTION

Joseph Coreno, an inmate currently at Kern Valley State Prison, filed this pro se civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, complaining of conditions at the Salinas Valley State Prison, where he was housed earlier. His complaint is now before the court for review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

BACKGROUND

Joseph Coreno alleges the following in his complaint.

Coreno has had severe back pain and nerve damage since 2006. He was prescribed pain medication for his severe back pain and was scheduled to receive back surgery from Dr. Ramberg. From September 2009 through March 2010, Coreno was seen by Dr. Lawrence Gamboa. At the same time, Dr. Sepulveda was the chief medical officer ("CMO") at the prison, and was in charge of handling requests for surgeries and chronos.

1 On November 6, 2009, Dr. Gamboa saw Coreno and set him up for back surgery and told
2 Coreno he would renew all his pain medications. On November 10, 2009, plaintiff did not
3 receive his night medication and learned that Dr. Gamboa had terminated the night medication
4 on November 6, 2009. On November 11, Coreno fell when he attempted to get out of bed,
5 hitting his head and blacking out. He awoke with severe pain. He eventually was taken to the
6 correctional treatment center at the prison and was given a shot of pain medication. He
7 continued to experience pain in his back and legs and then noticed his neck hurt also, because
8 he had sprained his neck when he fell. He was in pain throughout the day and night. He filed
9 a grievance about Dr. Gamboa's actions and his pain medication was re-prescribed.

10 On November 16, 2009, Coreno went to an outside hospital for the back surgery by Dr.
11 Ramberg. Due to confusion "as to what surgery the plaintiff was supposed to get," the surgery
12 did not occur. Complaint, p. 6. (A grievance response attached as an exhibit states that Coreno
13 refused surgery that day.) Dr. Ramberg ordered a neck x-ray, said he would reschedule the
14 surgery, and told Coreno to continue his pain medications until surgery.

15 On November 24, 2009, Coreno was seen by Dr. Gamboa. Coreno asked to have a
16 medical hold placed on him so he would not be transferred until the surgery occurred. Dr.
17 Sepulveda denied the request. On December 15, 2009, Dr. Gamboa told Coreno he would set
18 him up to see the surgeon and get a medical hold to prevent a transfer. The surgery and medical
19 hold never occurred.

20 On January 15, 2010, Coreno was seen by Dr. Gamboa. Although Dr. Gamboa told him
21 he would keep the medications the same, the next day Coreno learned that his morning pain
22 medication had been stopped on January 15, 2010. Coreno filed a grievance. On January 16,
23 2010, Coreno's back "went out again," Complaint, p. 8; he fell, and hit his head and blacked out.
24 In addition to grievances he filed, Coreno sent a letter to Dr. Sepulveda on February 28, 2010
25 asking him to help with treatment and the problems Coreno was experiencing. Dr. Sepulveda
26 denied the request.

27
28

1 Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case. [¶] Rule 56 tells you what you
2 must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary
3 judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact -- that is, if
4 there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party
5 who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will
6 end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that
7 is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely
8 on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations,
9 depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule
10 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and
11 show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own
12 evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you.
13 If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.
14 (See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998).)

15 Plaintiff also should take note that a defendant may file a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
16 administrative remedies instead of, or in addition to, a motion for summary judgment. A motion
17 to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) will, if
18 granted, result in the termination of the action. The plaintiff must “develop a record” and present
19 it in his opposition to dispute any “factual record” presented by a defendant’s motion to dismiss.
20 Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003).

21 c. If defendants wish to file a reply brief, the reply brief must be filed and
22 served no later than **December 24, 2010**.

23 4. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on a defendant's
24 counsel by mailing a true copy of the document to defendant's counsel. The court may disregard
25 any document which a party files but fails to send a copy of to his opponent. Until a defendant's
26 counsel has been designated, plaintiff may mail a true copy of the document directly to
27 defendant, but once a defendant is represented by counsel, all documents must be mailed to
28 counsel rather than directly to that defendant.

5. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16 is
required before the parties may conduct discovery.

6. Plaintiff is responsible for prosecuting this case. Plaintiff must promptly keep the
court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely
fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute

1 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). Plaintiff must file a notice of change of
2 address in every pending case every time he is moved to a new facility.

3 7. Plaintiff is cautioned that he must include the case name and case number for this
4 case on any document he submits to this court for consideration in this case.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: September 1, 2010



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28