

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL C. GARCIA,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [10-cv-02424-SI](#)

**ORDER RE: RESPONSIVE BRIEFING
ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO SEEK RECONSIDERATION
OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Re: Dkt. No. 145

On September 9, 2015, the Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment in part. Dkt. No. 116. Plaintiff, who has been incarcerated during much of this case, opposed the motion *pro se* from Salinas Valley State Prison. Dkt. No. 112. On July 13, 2016, the Court entered an order appointing counsel for Plaintiff. Plaintiff now seeks leave to file a Motion for Reconsideration of Summary Judgment under Civil Local Rule 7-9. *See* Dkt. No. 145.

Under Civil Local Rule 7-9, neither responsive briefing nor a hearing is required on a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration. Civil L.R. 7-9(d). The Court may, however, choose to order briefing or set a hearing. *Id.*

Defendants shall file a response to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Seek Reconsideration, not to exceed ten pages, setting forth reasons why reconsideration of summary judgment is inappropriate in this case. Defendants' response **must be filed no later than October 28, 2016.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 19, 2016



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge