

E-filed 8/23/10

1 Robert F. Kane, Esq. (SBN 71407)
 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT F. KANE
 2 870 Market Street, Suite 1128
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 3 Tel.: (415) 982-1510
 Fax: (415) 982-5821
 4 Rkane1089@aol.com

5 James L. Feldesman, Esq.
 Marisa B. Guevara, Esq.
 6 FELDESMAN TUCKER LEIFER FIDELL LLP
 1129 20th Street, Fourth Floor
 7 Washington, D.C. 20036
 Tel.: (202) 466-8960
 8 Fax: (202) 293-8103
 9 jfeldesman@feldesmantucker.com
mguevara@feldesmantucker.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff North East Medical Services, Inc.

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION**

<p>14 NORTH EAST MEDICAL SERVICES, INC., 15 16 <i>Plaintiffs,</i> v. 17 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY et al., 18 19 <i>Defendants.</i></p>	<p>CASE NO. CV-10-2433-RS STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS AND ORDER</p>
---	--

20
 21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff North East Medical Services,
 22 Inc. and Defendants California Department of Health Care Services, Health and Human
 23 Services Agency, the State of California and David Maxwell-Jolly, through their respective
 24 counsel, that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss currently set for September 9, 2010 be
 25 continued to October 28, 2010. The parties further stipulate that Plaintiff's Opposition to

26 //


27 //

28 //

1 the Motion to Dismiss is due on September 17, 2010.


2 Dated: August 18, 2010

Edmund G. Brown Jr.
Attorney General of California

3
4 
By: Sarah Kurtz
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Defendants

6 Dated:: August 18, 2010

FELDESMAN TUCKER LEIFER FIDELL, LLP
LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT F. KANE

7
8 
By: Marisa B. Guevara, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
North East Medical Services, Inc.

11 **ORDER**

12 On reading and filing the stipulation of the parties hereto and good cause appearing
13 therefore,

14 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:**

- 15 1. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss be continued from September 9,
16 2010 to October 28, 2010; and
17 2. Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is due on September 17,
18 2010.

19
20 Dated: 8/23/10

21 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE