

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES WILLIAM FROST,
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-02476 WHA

v.


AURORA LOAN SERVICING, LLC, and
AURORA BANK FSB,
Defendants.

**NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF THAT HE
MUST STILL RESPOND TO THE
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

Plaintiff did not timely respond to defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint by July 6, and an order to show cause issued, requiring plaintiff to respond by August 1, 2011, and show cause for his failure to respond to the motion. The order to show cause specifically stated that it did not constitute permission to file a late opposition.

Plaintiff filed a late opposition on July 19, which was entered by the Clerk's Office on July 20. Plaintiff shall be on notice that he must still respond to the order to show cause and show cause for his failure to timely respond to the motion, by his deadline of August 1. Whether the opposition will be accepted will await such response by plaintiff.

Dated: July 22, 2011.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE