

WHEREAS on September 9, 2010, the parties filed a Notice Of Need For ADR Phone
 Conference because they had not yet reached an agreement to an ADR process;

WHEREAS on September 15, 2010, the parties attended a phone conference with ADR
Program Staff Attorney Robin W. Siefkin;

5 WHEREAS on the phone conference, with the assistance of Ms. Siefkin, the parties reached
6 agreement that private mediation was the ADR process most likely to result in resolution of the
7 disputed issues in the above-captioned matter;

8 WHEREAS the parties further agree that a private mediator selected by the parties is more
9 likely to have the requisite experience and time to fully understand and explore the complicated issues
10 that typically arise in a patent infringement case;

WHEREAS the parties further agree that the timing of a private mediation session is an
important factor in the likelihood of success of such a procedure;

WHEREAS the parties further agree that a private mediation session that takes place after the
Court issues its order on claim construction is more likely to succeed than a private mediation session
held at an earlier date;

WHEREAS the parties further agree that the Court's claim construction will reduce uncertainty with respect to the merits of the parties' claims and counterclaims regarding infringement of the patents-in-suit;

WHEREAS the parties further agree that the Court's claim construction will reduce uncertainty
with respect to the merits of Facebook's counterclaims regarding invalidity of the patents-in-suit;

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HERBY STIPULATED by the parties, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

- The parties shall meet and confer regarding selection of a private mediator at least ninety (90 days) before the Claim Construction Hearing;
- 2. The parties shall mutually select a private mediator at least sixty (60) days before the Claim Construction Hearing;

3. The parties shall participate in a mediation with the mutually selected private mediator within no later than sixty (60) days after the issuance of the Court's Claim Construction Order.

. 1	Dated: October 29, 2010		
2 3	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & COOLEY LLP SULLIVAN, LLP		
4	/s/ Harold A. Barza/s/ Heidi L. KeefeHarold A. Barza (Bar No. 80888)Heidi L. Keefe (Bar No. 178960)		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter- Defendants MEKIKI CO., LTD and MEKIKI CREATES CO., LTD.Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant FACEBOOK, INC.		
8			
9			
10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED:		
11	Dated:		
12			
13	The Honorable Lucy H. Koh United States District Judge		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
03847.22857/3751461.2	-3-		
	STIPULATED REOUEST FOR ADR PROCEDURE (5:10-cv-2721 LHK (HRL))		

i			
1	ATTESTATION CLAUSE		
2	I, Scott A. Florance, hereby attest in accordance with General Order No. 45.X(B) that Heidi L.		
3	Keefe, counsel for Defendant and Counterclaimant Facebook, Inc., and Harold A. Barza, counsel for		
4	Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants Mekiki Co. Ltd and Mekiki Creates Co., Ltd. have provided their		
5	concurrence with the electronic filing of the foregoing document.		
. 6			
7	Dated: October 29, 2010	By: <u>/s/ Scott A. Florance</u> Scott A. Florance	
8		Scou A. Florance	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21		· · · ·	
22		· · ·	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
03847.22857/3751461.2	STIPULATED REOUEST FOR ADR	-4- PROCEDURE (5:10-cv-2721 LHK (HRL))	
1			