itional	Seating & Mobility Inc		
	Case3:10-cv-02782-JSW Document8	34-1 Filed02/24/12 Page1 of 2	
1	RONALD S. BUSHNER (SBN 98352) Ronald.Bushner@wilsonelser.com		
2	MEGAN M. LEWIS (SBN 246052) Megan.Lewis@wilsonelser.com		
3 4	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 525 Market Street, 17th Floor		
5	San Francisco, CÁ 94105-2725 Telephone: 415.433.0990 Facsimile: 415.434.1370		
6			
7	Attorneys for Counter-Claim Defendant NATIONAL SEATING & MOBILITY, INC.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	NATIONAL SEATING & MOBILITY, INC.,	Case No.: 3:10-cv-02782-JSW	
11	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING NSM'S	
12	VS.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-REPLY IN RESPONSE TO	
13	MICHAEL PARRY and DOES 1 through 20;	PARRY'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF RENEWED MOTION FOR CLASS	
14	inclusive,	CERTIFICATION AND CONTINUING	
15	Defendants.	HEARINGS Courtroom: 11, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor Judge: The Hon. Jeffrey S. White	
16		Action Filed: March 3, 2010	
17 18	MICHAEL PARRY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,		
19	Counter-Claimant,		
20	VS.		
21	NATIONAL SEATING & MOBILITY, INC.,		
22	Counter-Claim Defendant.		
23	Counter-Claim Defendant.	I	
24	The administrative motion of Counter-Claim Defendant National Seating & Mobility, Inc.		
25	(hereinafter "NSM") for an Order granting NSM leave to file a sur-reply in response to Counter-		
26			
27	Claimant Michael Parry's reply brief in support of his Renewed Motion for Class Certification came		
28	Casa No · 2·	1 10-cv-02782-JSW	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING NSM'S ADMINIST IN RESPONSE TO PARRY'S REPLY BRIEF IN	TRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-REPLY N SUPPORT OF RENEWED MOTION FOR CLASS	
	CERTI	FICATION	

Parry v. National Seating & Mobility Inc

838782.1

Doc. 86

	Case3:10-cv-02782-JSW Document84-1 Filed02/24/12 Page2 of 2 Parry opposes the request on procedural grounds. The Court refers Parry to its Civil Standing Order ¶ 3, which states that requests to continue are to be made by		
1	on before this Court administrative motions. Although NSM should have explained why a stipulation could		
2	not be obtained, the Court shall not deny the motion on that basis.  Having considered the materials filed in support of this Motion, the Court hereby grants		
3	NSM leave to file a sur-reply of no more than 15 pages on or before March 9, 2012. The Court also		
4	hereby continues the hearing date on Parry's Renewed Motion for Class Certification to March 23,		
5	and the hearing date on Parry's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Counterclaim to 2012 at 9:00 a.m. March 30, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. This Order does not alter the briefing schedule on the		
6	<i>latter motion.</i> If the Court finds either motion suitable for disposition without oral argument, it shall notify the parties in advance of the hearing date.		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8			
9	D. J. Edman 28 2012		
10	Dated: February 28, 2012 By: How His Sunta His Sunta By: His By:		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
20 27			
28	2		
۷۵	Case No.: 3:10-cv-02782-JSW		

838782.1