16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 DONNA HINES, No. C-10-2813 EMC 9 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S 10 v. APPLICATION TO PROCEED *IN* FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL 11 CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, et al., (Docket No. 88) 12 Defendants. 13 14 15

Plaintiff Donna Hines has submitted an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Docket No. 88; *see* Fed. R. App. P. 24(a). Ms. Hines seeks to appeal this Court's April 5, 2011 Order granting the California Public Utilities Commission's motion to dismiss, Docket No. 44, and June 28, 2011 Order denying Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration, Docket No. 78.

The Court may not grant an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* if the appeal is "not taken in good faith." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). "'Not taken in good faith' means 'frivolous.'" *Gray v. Hamilton*, No. C 10-4614, 2010 WL 4281812 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2010) (quoting *Ellis v. United States*, 356 U.S. 674, 674-75, 78 S.Ct. 974, 2 L.Ed.2d 1060 (1958); *Hooker v. American Airlines*, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002)). In this case, Plaintiff's appeal is premature because the Court has not yet entered final judgment. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1291, 1292(b). Another Defendant remains as to whom the Court has not entered judgment, and Plaintiff has not requested, nor has the Court

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	directed, entry of a final judgment pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
2	Therefore, the Court hereby DENIES Plaintiff's application without prejudice.
3	This order disposes of Docket No. 88.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: August 1, 2011
8	EDWARD M. CHEN
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	