

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HARVEY OTTOVICH, MARK OTTOVICH,  
and the HARVEY G. OTTOVICH  
REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST,

No. C 10-02842 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS’  
REQUEST FOR AN ORDER TO  
COMPEL PLAINTIFFS’  
ATTENDANCE AT DEPOSITION**

CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC,  
WASHINGTON MUTUAL INC., and JP  
MORGAN CHASE BANK NA,

Defendants.

Counsel for defendants Chase Home Finance, LLC, and JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, have submitted a letter requesting “the Court’s assistance in securing the attendance of Plaintiffs at deposition,” and for “the Court to schedule an appearance and impress upon the Plaintiffs the import of their attendance at their deposition . . . [and] issue an order compelling their attendance.”

Defendants’ request is **DENIED**. Fact discovery has been closed in this matter since *April 30*. Defendants did not seek relief before discovery closed and they do not even address this problem in their submission. If the parties agreed to stipulate to ignore the Court’s

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

deadlines, then counsel are stuck relying on their stipulation and will not get an after-the-fact blessing for their plan.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 5, 2011.



---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE