1 *E-FILED 08/23/2010* 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 12 Michael C. Malaney, et al., 13 Plaintiffs, CASE NO. 3:10-CV-02858-RS 14 VS. **DISCOVERY ORDER** 15 UAL CORPORATION, UNITED AIR LINES, INC., and CONTINENTAL 16 AIRLINES, INC., 17 Defendants. 18 19 The Court has received letter briefs from plaintiffs and defendants regarding an ongoing 20 discovery dispute. On August 11, 2010, the Court ordered plaintiffs to produce information relating 21 to the settlement of other airline lawsuits brought by plaintiffs, including a lawsuit in which some of 22 the same plaintiffs present here attempted to enjoin the Delta/Northwest merger. According to the 23 letter briefs, the parties have met and conferred, and plaintiffs have produced a number of 24 documents, including the settlement agreements, the dates of settlement, and the consideration 25 received in those settlements. Defendants now seek production of two sets of documents, which 26 DISCOVERY ORDER CASE NO. 3:10-CV-02858-RS

Doc. 52

Dockets.Justia.com

Malaney et al v. WAL Corporation et al

plaintiffs have listed on their Privilege Log, Revised and Updated, August 17, 2010: (1) retainer agreements between the Alioto Law Firm and plaintiffs in two of the Relevant Actions (as defined in the Court's August 11, 2010 order) that are referenced in certain settlement materials produced by plaintiffs; and (2) copies of checks or disbursements between plaintiffs and the Alioto Law Firm.

Although the definition of "relevant" under the Federal Rules of Evidence is broad, retainer agreements or copies of disbursements executed between attorneys and their clients are widely attenuated from the issue of irreparable harm in an anti-trust litigation. Moreover, the documents requested seem to be duplicative of those already produced insofar as they would support an argument that monetary damages would be an adequate remedy here. Therefore, defendants' request to compel production of the documents as described in the first, second, fourth, fifth, seventh and eighth entries on plaintiffs' Privilege Log, Revised and Updated, August 17, 2010 is denied.

IT SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 23, 2010

United States District Judge