

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 ROBERT P. SMITH III, D-00615, )  
11 Plaintiff(s), ) No. C 10-2959 CRB (PR)  
12 vs. ) (9th Cir. No. 12-17019)  
13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., ) ORDER  
14 Defendant(s). ) (Docket # 56)  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ )

16 Plaintiff again has filed a request asking this court to exercise its  
17 discretionary authority to refuse to tax costs in favor of the prevailing party. The  
18 request is construed as a motion for reconsideration of the court's December 12,  
19 2012 order denying plaintiff's initial request and is DENIED. See Twentieth  
20 Century - Fox Film Corp. v. Dunnahoo, 637 F.2d 1338, 1341 (9th Cir. 1981).  
21 (motions for reconsideration should not be frequently made or freely granted;  
22 they are not a substitute for appeal or a means of attacking some perceived error  
23 of the court.)

24 The clerk shell send a copy of this order to the Ninth Circuit.

25 SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: May 21, 2013

27   
28 CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge