1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	12		
13	13 MARCUS LEE GROSSE,	No. C 10-3075 WHA (PR)	
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT AND	
15	15 v.	DENYING APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
16	16 SHERIFF'S DEPUTY MITCHELL,	COUNSEL	
17	Defendant.	(Docket No. 15)	
18			
19	Plaintiff, a county jail inmate who is proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights complaint		
20	under 42 U.S.C. 1983 against Sheriff's Deputy Mitchell, a guard at the Martinez Detention		
21	Facility in Martinez, California, where plaintiff is incarcerated. The complaint was found to		
22	state a cognizable claim for relief, and the Marshal was ordered to serve it upon Mitchell.		
23	Dispositive motions have been scheduled but not yet filed. Plaintiff has filed another complaint		
24	form, which could possibly be a proposed amended complaint. The allegations set forth therein		
25	refer to an attack by "North north Korean troops" and are largely unintelligible. Plaintiff will		
26	not be given leave to file an amended complaint th	not be given leave to file an amended complaint that is unintelligible.	
27	27 //		
28	28 //		

United States District Court

Plaintiff has also requested appointment of counsel. Plaintiff has thus far been able to advance his claims without counsel, and there are no extraordinary circumstances that would warrant appointment of counsel at this time. The request for appointment of counsel (docket number 15) is **Denied.** Should the need arise at a later date, the court will appoint counsel sua sponte.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October <u>27</u>, 2010.

Ahr

SUP WILLIAM **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

G:\PRO-SE\WHA\CR.10\GROSSE3075.MOT.wpd