

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP  
1950 University Avenue, Suite 500  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

1 ALDO A. BADINI (SBN 257086)  
Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP  
2 1950 University Avenue, Suite 500  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
3 Telephone: (650) 845-7000  
Facsimile: (650) 845-7333  
4 Email: abadini@dl.com

5 Counsel for Defendants  
PANASONIC CORPORATION  
6 PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA

7 CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)  
Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP  
8 1600 El Camino Real  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
9 Telephone: (650) 752-2000  
Facsimile: (650) 752-2111

10 Counsel for Defendant  
11 SD-3C, LLC

12 SIMON J. FRANKEL  
Covington & Burling LLP  
13 One Front Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-5356  
14 Telephone: (415) 591-6000  
Facsimile: (415) 591-6091

15 Counsel for Plaintiff  
16 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
18 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
19 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

20 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., )  
a Korean corporation, )  
21 Plaintiff, )  
)  
22 v. )  
)  
23 )  
)  
24 PANASONIC CORPORATION, a Japanese )  
corporation; PANASONIC CORPORATION )  
25 OF NORTH AMERICA, a Delaware )  
corporation; and SD-3C LLC, a Delaware )  
26 limited liability company, )  
)  
27 Defendants. )  
28 )

No. CV 10-3098 JSW

**JOINT STIPULATION AND**  
**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER REGARDING**  
**MOTION TO DISMISS BRIEFING**

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP  
1950 University Avenue, Suite 500  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

WHEREAS, pursuant to a joint stipulation between the parties, which was So Ordered by the Court on August 4, 2010, the deadline for Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America, and SD-3C LLC to file motions to dismiss the Complaint in the above-captioned matter is September 24, 2010;

WHEREAS counsel for Defendants Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America, counsel for Defendant SD-3C LLC, and counsel for Plaintiff Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., have conferred regarding the length of briefs in connection with motion to dismiss briefing;

WHEREAS counsel for the parties agree that it would be most efficient and convenient for the Court and the parties for (i) Defendants to submit a single joint motion to dismiss the Complaint and consolidated memorandum of points and authorities in support of their joint motion, rather than individual motions and memoranda; (ii) Plaintiff to submit a single opposition to Defendants’ joint motion to dismiss; and (iii) Defendants to submit a single consolidated reply to Plaintiff’s opposition;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court’s Civil Standing Orders, each Defendant would be permitted to submit a 15 page brief in support of an individual motion to dismiss, for a total of 45 pages, and Plaintiff would be permitted 15 pages in opposition to each individual motion, for a total of 45 pages;

WHEREAS counsel for the parties agree that it would be appropriate to preserve the 45 pages in aggregate briefing that the parties would have enjoyed pursuant to the Court’s Civil Standing Orders, and, additionally, that Defendants have asserted that the complexity of the issues presented in the Complaint warrant an additional five pages of briefing for Defendants in support of their joint motion to dismiss and an additional five pages of briefing for Plaintiff in opposition, for a total of fifty (50) pages for each brief. The parties further agree that the page limit for Defendants’ reply should be twenty-five (25) pages (which is less than the aggregate 45 pages that would be permissible in three separate replies of Defendants under the Court’s Civil Standing Orders);

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE  
2 PARTIES HERETO, through their respective counsel, that:

3 (1) Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North  
4 America, and SD-3C LLC may submit a consolidated memorandum of points and authorities in  
5 support of their joint motion to dismiss the Complaint, which shall not exceed <sup>30</sup>~~fifty (50)~~ pages  
6 of text.

7 (2) Plaintiff Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.'s, opposition to Defendants' joint  
8 motion to dismiss shall not exceed <sup>30</sup>~~fifty (50)~~ pages of text.

9 (3) Defendants' reply in support of their joint motion to dismiss shall not  
10 exceed <sup>20</sup>~~twenty five (25)~~ pages of text.

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP  
1950 University Avenue, Suite 500  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

12 Dated: September 17, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP

By: s/Aldo A. Badini

Aldo A. Badini (SBN 257086)  
Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP  
1950 University Avenue, Suite 500  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 845-7000  
Facsimile: (650) 845-7333  
Email: abadini@dl.com

Counsel for Defendants  
Panasonic Corporation and  
Panasonic Corporation of North America

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

By: s/ Christopher B. Hockett

Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)  
Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)  
Samantha H. Knox (SBN 254427)  
1600 El Camino Real  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Telephone: (650) 752-2000  
Facsimile: (650) 752-2111

Counsel for Defendant SD-3C, LLC

*Pursuant to General Order No. 45 § X.B., the filer attests that concurrence  
in the filing of this document has been obtained from the above signatory.*

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP  
1950 University Avenue, Suite 500  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
By: s/Simon J. Frankel  
Simon J. Frankel  
Evan Robert Cox  
One Front Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-5356  
Telephone: (415) 591-6000  
Facsimile: (415) 591-6091  
  
Derek Ludwin  
Jonathan Gimblett  
Monique Mendez O'Donoghue  
Timothy C. Hester  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
Telephone: (202) 662-6000  
Facsimile: (202) 662-6291  
  
Counsel for Plaintiff  
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

*Pursuant to General Order No. 45 § X.B., the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the above signatory.*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, AS MODIFIED.

Dated: September 20, 2010

  
Hon. Jeffrey S. White  
United States District Court