

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SWI-CO CONSTRUCTION, INC. et al,

CASE NO. C-10-03122 JL

Plaintiff(s),

~~STIPULATION AND PROPOSED~~
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

v.

AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY, et al,

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediator (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

- Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

Mediation between the parties with a mediator to be agreed upon by the parties.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*


- other requested deadline: December 31, 2010

Dated: Oct. 4, 2010



Marc L. Sherman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: Oct. 6, 2010



Stephen L. Liberatore
Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

~~PROPOSED ORDER~~

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR



Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 8, 2010

Dated: _____

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE