Case3:10-cv-03157-JSW Document59 Filed08/07/12 Page1 of 2

Kimberly E. Colwell, Esq. (SBN: 127604) kcolwell@meyersnave.com Kevin E. Gilbert, Esq. (SBN: 209236) kgilbert@meyersnave.com MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500 3 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 808-2000 Facsimile: (510) 444-1108 Attorneys for Defendants 6 JUDGE JAMES G. BERTOLI; JUDGE ROBERT S. BOYD; SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA; COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE 7 DISTRICT: PRESIDING JUSTICE IGNAZIO J. RUVOLO and JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No: CV 10-03157 JSW 11 NATALIA A. SIDIAKINA, 12 Plaintiff, DEFENDANTS JUDGE JAMES G. BERTOLI; JUDGE ROBERT S. BOYD; 13 v. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, JAMES G. BERTOLI, JUDGE, in his official COUNTY OF SONOMA; COURT OF and personal capacity; ROBERT S. BOYD, APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT; JUDGE, in his official and personal capacity: PRESIDING JUSTICE IGNAZIO J. 15 SUPERÍOR COURT OF SÔNOMA CÔUNTY: RUVOLO AND JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST **CALIFORNIA'S OPPOSITION TO** 16 APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION FOUR; PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION IGNAZIO J. RUVOLO, PRESIDING 17 JUSTICE, in his official capacity; STATE OF ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS CALIFORNIA; JUDICIAL BRANCH OF AND DIRECTING DEFENDANTS 18 CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT; and TO SERVE A COPY OF ORDER JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA, 19 **ON PLAINTIFF** Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## Case3:10-cv-03157-JSW Document59 Filed08/07/12 Page2 of 2

1	Defendants Judge James G. Bertoli; Judge Robert S. Boyd; Superior Court of California,
2	County of Sonoma; Court of Appeal, First Appellate District; Presiding Justice Ignazio J. Ruvolo
3	and Judicial Council of California ("Defendants") hereby object to Plaintiff's Ex Parte
4	Application entered by the Court on August 6, 2012 and apparently filed on May 29, 2012.
5	Despite the requirements enunciated by F.R.C.P. § 5(a)(1) and this Court's prior Order requiring
6	Plaintiff to serve Defendants with all filed documents (Court's December 16, 2011 Order – Docket
7	at 38), Plaintiff continues to file documents without serving copies to Defendants. As a result,
8	Defendants have not received a copy of Plaintiff's current Application, nor do they have any
9	knowledge of the substance of Plaintiff's request therein. Pursuant thereto, Defendants object to
10	Plaintiff's Application and request the Court decline to rule until such time as Plaintiff serves
11	Defendants with copies of all such pleadings and provides the Court with an executed proof of
12	service.
13	
14	Dated: August 7, 2012 Respectfully submitted,
15	MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON
16	
17	By: <u>/s/ Kevin E. Gilbert</u> Kevin E. Gilbert
18	Attorney for Defendants JUDGE JAMES G. BERTOLI; JUDGE ROBERT S.
19	BOYD; SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA; COURT OF APPEAL,
20	FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT; PRESIDING JUSTICE IGNAZIO J. RUVOLO and JUDICIAL
21	COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
22	Defendant's objections are DENIED. The document in question was submitted to the Court on May 29, 2012, and was addressed in the Court's Order May 31, 2012
23	(Docket No. 50.) The Court discovered that, inadvertently, the original was never
24	filed in the Court's Docket, however, and thus the Court directed that it be filed in the 1948448.1 record.
25	The Court also determined that the matters therein were sealable.
26	
27	efendants shall serve a copy of this Order on Plaintiff.

effug & White

August 7, 2012

Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application [CV 10-157]

28