,				
1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682			
2				
3				
4				
5	Telephone: (415) 554-4674 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699			
6	E-Mail: vince.chhabria@sfgov.org			
7	Attorneys for Defendant			
8	8 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
9				
10	LINUTED OF A THEO DISTRICT COLUMN			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	CALIFORNIA TOW TRUCK ASSOCIATION,	Case No. C10-03		
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED APPLICATION TO CONTINUE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT		
15	vs.	[PROPOSED]	E SET	Γ FOR 10/29/2010; ER
16	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			1 00 0010
17	and DOES 1-50,	Hearing Date: Time:	8:30	a.m.
18	Defendants.	Judge/Place:	The Honorable Charles R. Breyer Courtroom 8, 19th Floor	
19		Date Action File	ed:	July 12, 2010
20		Trial Date:		None Set
21				
22	In this case the ultipating control of the the	- 4-C444:-		
23	In this case, the plaintiff contends that the defendant's ordinance, which requires tow			
24	companies and tow car operators to obtain permits before doing business in San Francisco, is preempted by federal and state law and violates the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution. The plaintiff also contends that San Francisco has a practice of seizing tow trucks for operating without a permit, in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.			
25				
26				
27	operating without a permit, in violation of the Fo	ourui Ainenument	to the	Omicu States Constitution.
28				

After conferring, the parties are in agreement that this case presents questions that can be resolved by cross-motions for summary judgment, without the conduct of discovery.

To avoid the unnecessary expenses associated with an initial case management conference, and to avoid burdening the Court's schedule, the parties hereby request that the initial case management conference be continued to February 18, 2011, with all deadlines associated with the initial case management conference similarly continued, so that the parties may file cross-motions for summary judgment, to be heard December 3, 2010, or at a subsequent date convenient to the Court. Assuming a hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment is scheduled on December 3, 2010, the parties propose the following briefing schedule:

- Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment to be filed on or before October 8, 2010
- Defendant's cross-motion/opposition to be filed on or before October 22, 2010
- Plaintiff's reply/opposition to be filed on or before November 5, 2010
- Defendant's reply to be filed November 19, 2010

Dated: September 29, 2010

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney
DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney
VINCE CHHABRIA
Deputy City Attorney

By: s/Vince Chhabria
VINCE CHHABRIA
Attorneys for Defendant
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Dated: September 29, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF BROOKS ELLISON

By: **s/Patrick Whalen
PATRICK J. WHALEN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CALIFORNIA TOW TRUCK ASSOCIATION

**pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has obtained approval from this signatory.

ORDER

The parties' request to continue the initial case management conference is GRANTED. The initial case management conference shall take place on February 18, 2011. The parties cross-motions for summary judgment shall be heard on December 3, 2010. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment shall be filed on or before October 8, 2010. Defendant's cross-motion/opposition shall be filed on or before October 22, 2010. Plaintiff's reply/opposition shall be filed on or before November 5, 2010. Defendant's reply, if any, shall be filed on or before November 19, 2010.

Dated: <u>October 1, 2010</u>

