

1 Carl L. Blumenstein (CA Bar No. 124158)  
 NOSSAMAN LLP  
 2 50 California Street, 34th Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 3 Telephone: (415) 398-3600  
 Facsimile: (415) 398-2438  
 4 Email: cblumenstein@nossaman.com

5 *Defendants' Liaison Counsel*

6 Philip J. Iovieno (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
 7 10 North Pearl Street, 4th Floor  
 Albany, NY 12207  
 8 Telephone: (518) 434-0600  
 Facsimile: (518) 434-0665  
 9 Email: piovieno@bsflp.com

10 *Attorneys for Certain Plaintiffs and on Behalf of All Track*  
 11 *2 Plaintiffs*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15  
 16 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NO. M:07-md-01827-SI

MDL NO. 1827

17  
 18 This Document Relates to:

19 *SB Liquidation Trust v. AU Optronics Corp.,*  
 20 *et al.*, 3:10-cv-05458-SI

21 *MetroPCS Wireless, Inc. v. AU Optronics*  
 22 *Corp., et al.*, 3:11-cv-00829-SI

23 *Office Depot, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corp., et*  
*al.*, 3:11-cv-02225-SI

24 *Jaco Electronics, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corp.,*  
 25 *et al.*, 3:11-cv-02495-SI

CASE NOS. 3:10-cv-05458-SI;  
 3:11-cv-0829-SI; 3:11-cv-02225-SI;  
 3:11-cv-02495-SI; 3:11-cv-03763-SI;  
 3:11-cv-03856-SI; 3:11-cv-04119-SI;  
 3:11-cv-05765-SI; 3:11-cv-05781-SI;  
 3:11-cv-06241-SI 3:12-cv-01426-SI;  
 3:10-cv-05625-SI; 3:10-CV-03205 SI

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER REGARDING SUMMARY  
 JUDGMENT SCHEDULE**

1 *Interbond Corp. of America v. AU Optronics*  
2 *Corp., et al.*, 3:11-cv-03763-SI

3 *Schultze Agency Services, LLC, on behalf of*  
4 *Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweeter Newco, LLC,*  
5 *v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.*,  
6 3:11-cv-03856-SI

7 *P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al.*  
8 *v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.*, 3:11-cv-04119-  
9 SI

10 *Tech Data Corp., et al. v. AU Optronics Corp.,*  
11 *et al.*, 3:11-cv-05765-SI

12 *The AASI Creditor Liquidating Trust, by and*  
13 *through Kenneth A. Welt, Liquidating Trustee*  
14 *v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.*, 3:11-cv-05781-  
15 SI

16 *CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. AU Optronics*  
17 *Corp., et al.*, 3:11-cv-06241-SI

18 *NECO Alliance LLC v. AU Optronics Corp., et*  
19 *al.*, 3:12-cv-01426-SI

20 *Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City*  
21 *Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. AU Optronics*  
22 *Corp., et al.*, 3:10-cv-05625-SI

23 *Tracfone Wireless, Inc. v. AU Optronics*  
24 *Corporation, et al.*, 3:10-cv-03205 SI

25  
26 Plaintiffs in the above-captioned cases, which exclude the *State of Oregon* case and the  
27 *Hewlett-Packard* case (collectively, “Track 2 Cases”) and Defendants in the Track 2 Cases  
28 (collectively, the “Parties”) hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS the Parties have met and conferred regarding the Proposed List of Summary  
Judgment Motions and Schedule;

WHEREAS the Parties continue to negotiate stipulations that, if executed, would result in  
resolving or limiting some of the summary judgment motions listed below;

WHEREAS the Parties have agreed to set a schedule for certain summary judgment  
motions; and

1 WHEREAS the Parties have also met and conferred regarding a schedule for *Daubert*  
2 motions;

3 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between the  
4 Parties, and subject to the availability and concurrence of the Court, that the Track 2 cases will  
5 adopt the following schedule for summary judgment motions in Track 2:

| <b>Phase 1</b>  | <b>Date</b>        |
|---|--------------------|
| Last day to file Phase 1 dispositive motions                            | March 18, 2014     |
| Last day to file oppositions to Phase 1 dispositive motions             | April 29, 2014     |
| Last day to file reply briefs in support of Phase 1 dispositive motions | June 10, 2014      |
| Hearing on Phase 1 dispositive motions                                  | June 27, 2014      |
| <b>Phase 2</b>  |                    |
| Last day to file Phase 2 dispositive motions                            | May 1, 2014        |
| Last day to file oppositions to Phase 2 dispositive motions             | June 12, 2014      |
| Last day to file reply briefs in support of Phase 2 dispositive motions | July 24, 2014      |
| Hearing on Phase 2 dispositive motions                                  | August 8, 2014     |
| <b>Phase 3</b>  |                    |
| Last day to file Phase 3 dispositive motions                            | June 2, 2014       |
| Last day to file oppositions to Phase 3 dispositive motions             | July 14, 2014      |
| Last day to file reply briefs in support of Phase 3 dispositive motions | August 25, 2014    |
| Hearing on Phase 3 dispositive motions                                  | September 12, 2014 |

22 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED among the Parties, and subject to the concurrence of the  
23 Court, that the following summary judgment topics identified by the Defendants will be in Phase  
24 1:

- 25 1. Certain of Plaintiffs' state-law claims should be dismissed on choice of law and/or due  
26 process grounds.
- 27 2. Plaintiffs seek damages based on purchases of LCD Products containing LCD Panels  
28 that were not manufactured by Defendants or alleged co-conspirators.

1 3. Plaintiffs' claims and alleged damages relating to "lost sales" are barred because  
2 Plaintiffs have failed to provide evidence from which they could establish damages  
3 based on "lost sales."

4 4. Plaintiffs cannot recover from purported conspirators or for claims based on sales by  
5 purported conspirators they did not identify in relevant discovery responses and/or  
6 which their experts did not analyze.

7 5. Plaintiffs lack antitrust standing under Associated General Contractors.

8 6. AASI is barred from seeking damages for purchases for which it cannot prove that it  
9 paid.

10 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED among the Parties, and subject to the concurrence of the  
11 Court, that the following summary judgment topics identified by Defendants will be in Phase 2:

12 1. Certain of Plaintiffs' state-law claims should be dismissed because the plaintiffs do  
13 not satisfy one or more elements of the claim or are not entitled to any available  
14 remedy.

15 2. Plaintiffs cannot recover for claims for purchases of STN-LCD panels.

16 3. Plaintiffs' claims against certain Defendants are barred because those Defendants did  
17 not participate in a price-fixing conspiracy with respect to small, medium and/or large  
18 panels.

19 4. Certain plaintiffs have failed to bring certain claims within the applicable limitations  
20 period.

21 5. TracFone cannot recover for damages exceeding the amount of any overcharge.

22 6. TracFone's purchases from LG Electronics should be dismissed.

23 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED among the Parties, and subject to the concurrence of the  
24 Court, that the following summary judgment topics identified by Defendants will be in Phase 3:

25 1. Certain of Plaintiffs' alleged damages are barred because they successfully passed  
26 through to their customers any alleged overcharge on purchases of LCD Products.

27 2. Certain alleged co-conspirators did not participate in the price-fixing conspiracy  
28 plaintiffs allege and purchases from these entities cannot be included in plaintiffs'

1 damages. Defendants will not raise this motion as to Fujitsu.

2 3. Plaintiffs cannot prove that Toshiba participated in the alleged price-fixing conspiracy  
3 or can be held liable for the acts of others, or plaintiffs cannot prove that certain  
4 defendants participated in the alleged “crystal conspiracy” or in any “overarching” or  
5 multilateral conspiracy comparable to the “crystal conspiracy.”

6 4. Certain plaintiffs’ federal claims are barred because they lack standing pursuant to  
7 Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois, 431 U.S. 720 (1977). This motion is limited to arguments  
8 that (1) SB Trust, MARTA, AASI and NECO do not have standing to bring Sherman  
9 Act I Clayton Act claims under Illinois Brick, and (2) plaintiffs cannot prove  
10 ownership and control over certain entities.

11 5. All of Plaintiffs' claims against the Toshiba entities should be dismissed because they  
12 are precluded by the judgment in *Best Buy Co., Inc. v. Toshiba Corp.*, Case No. 12-cv-  
13 4114.

14 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED among the Parties, and subject to the concurrence of the  
15 Court, that the following summary judgment topics identified by Plaintiffs will be in Phase 3:

- 16 1. Certain Defendants participated in and/or are liable for the alleged conspiracy.  
17 2. Certain of Plaintiffs’ alleged damages are allowable because Plaintiffs purchased LCD  
18 Products from certain alleged affiliates of Defendants and co-conspirators, and such  
19 alleged affiliates are owned or controlled by a Defendant or co-conspirator.

20 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED among the Parties that the Parties will conclude  
21 negotiations regarding and file stipulations regarding resolution or narrowing of any of the above  
22 summary judgment motions no later than February 18, 2014;

23 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED among the Parties, and subject to the concurrence and  
24 availability of the Court, that the following schedule will apply to any *Daubert* motions filed by  
25 Defendants as to Plaintiffs’ experts Dr. Bernheim, Dr. Marx, Dr. Fontecchio, and Mr. Stowell:  
26  
27  
28

|   |   |                   |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Last day to hold depositions of Dr. Bernheim, Dr. Marx, Dr. Fontecchio, and Mr. Stowell | May 5, 2014       |
| 2 | Last day to file <i>Daubert</i> motions   | May 29, 2014      |
| 3 | Last day to file oppositions to <i>Daubert</i> motions                                  | July 10, 2014     |
| 4 | Last day to file reply briefs in support of <i>Daubert</i> motions                      | August 21, 2014   |
| 5 | Hearing on <i>Daubert</i> motions   | September 5, 2014 |

7 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED among the Parties, and subject to the concurrence and  
8 availability of the Court, that the following schedule will apply to any *Daubert* motion as to any  
9 Track 2 expert except for Dr. Bernheim, Dr. Marx, Dr. Fontecchio, and Mr. Stowell:

|    |  |                   |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 10 | Last day to file <i>Daubert</i> motions                            | July 29, 2014     |
| 11 | Last day to file oppositions to <i>Daubert</i> motions             | September 9, 2014 |
| 12 | Last day to file reply briefs in support of <i>Daubert</i> motions | October 21, 2014  |
| 13 | Hearing on <i>Daubert</i> motions                                  | November 7, 2014  |

14 Notwithstanding the schedule above, the Parties agree that any *Daubert* motion that  
15 regards any expert appearing only in a single case that will be remanded to a transferor court for  
16 trial purposes will be heard by that transferor court.

17  
18 Dated: January 28, 2014

19 Respectfully submitted,









1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

By: /s/ Marc M. Seltzer  
Marc M. Seltzer (54534)  
Steven G. Sklaver (237612)  
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029  
Telephone: (310) 789-3100  
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150  
Email: mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com  
ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com

Erica W. Harris  
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100  
Houston, TX 77002-5096  
Telephone: (713) 651-9366  
Facsimile: (713) 654-6666  
Email: eharris@susmangodfrey.com

*Attorneys for SB Liquidation Trust*

By: /s/ James B. Baldinger  
James B. Baldinger  
Florida Bar No.: 869899  
jbaldinger@carltonfields.com  
Robert L. Ciotti  
Florida Bar No.: 333141  
rciotti@carltonfields.com  
David B. Esau  
Florida Bar No.: 650331  
desau@carltonfields.com  
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.  
CityPlace Tower – Suite 1200  
525 Okeechobee Boulevard  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401  
Telephone: (561) 659-7070  
Facsimile: (561) 659-7368

*Counsel for TracFone Wireless, Inc.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

By: /s/ Lee F. Berger  
Holly A. House (State Bar No. 136045)  
Kevin C. McCann (State Bar No. 120874)  
Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)  
Sean Unger (State Bar No. 231694)  
PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
55 Second Street  
Twenty-Fourth Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 856-7000  
Facsimile: (415) 856-7100  
Email: kevinmccann@paulhastings.com  
hollyhouse@paulhastings.com  
leeberger@paulhastings.com  
seanunger@paulhastings.com

Brad D. Brian (State Bar No. 079001)  
Jerome C. Roth (State Bar No. 159483)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
355 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702  
Email: Brad.Brian@mto.com

*Attorneys for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.*

By: /s/ Stephen P. Freccero  
Melvin R. Goldman (SBN 34097)  
Stephen P. Freccero (SBN 131093)  
Derek F. Foran (SBN 224569)  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 268-7000  
Facsimile: (415) 268-7522  
Email: mgoldman@mofocom  
sfreccero@mofocom  
dforan@mofocom

*Attorneys for Defendants Epson Imaging Devices Corporation and Epson Electronics America, Inc.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

By: /s/ Carl L. Blumenstein  
Carl L. Blumenstein (State Bar No. 124158)  
Christopher A. Nedeau (State Bar No. 81297)  
Farschad Farzan (State Bar No. 215194)  
NOSSAMAN LLP  
50 California Street, 34th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 398-3600  
Facsimile: (415) 398-2438  
Email: cnedeau@nossaman.com  
cblumenstein@nossaman.com  
ffarzan@nossaman.com

*Attorneys for Defendants AU Optronics Corporation  
and AU Optronics Corporation America*

By: /s/ Christopher M. Curran  
Christopher M. Curran (*pro hac vice*)  
Martin M. Toto (*pro hac vice*)  
John H. Chung (*pro hac vice*)  
WHITE & CASE LLP  
1155 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: (212) 819-8200  
Facsimile: (212) 354-8113  
Email: ccurran@whitecase.com  
mtoto@whitecase.com  
jchung@whitecase.com

*Attorneys for Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile  
Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Electronic  
Components, Inc. and Toshiba America Information  
Systems, Inc.*





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

By: /s/ Michael W. Scarborough  
Michael W. Scarborough (SBN 203524)  
SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON  
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 434-9100  
Facsimile: (415) 434-3947  
Email: mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Samsung SDI America, Inc.  
and Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.*

By: /s/ Kent M. Roger  
Kent M. Roger (Bar No. 95987)  
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
Telephone: (415) 442-1000  
Facsimile: (415) 442-1001  
Email: kroger@morganlewis.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi  
Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display Inc.) and Hitachi  
Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

By: /s/ Stephen Holbrook Sutro  
George Dominic Niespolo  
Stephen Holbrook Sutro  
DUANE MORRIS LLP  
One Market, Spear Tower, Suite 2200  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 957-3010  
Facsimile: (415) 957-3001  
Email: gdniespolo@duanemorris.com  
shsutro@duanemorris.com


*Attorneys for NEC Corporation, NEC LCD  
Technologies, Ltd., and Renesas Electronics America,  
Inc.*

1 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this  
2 document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: 1/28/14



Hon. Susan Illston  
United States District Judge

LEGAL\_US\_W # 77542011