

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

No. M 07-1827 SI  
MDL No. 1827

This Order Relates to:

**ORDER RE: APRIL 23, 2014 CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

*The AASI Creditor Liquidating Trust, by and  
through Kenneth A. Welt, Liquidating Trustee v.  
AU Optronics, et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-5781 SI

*Acer America Corp. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al.*, Case  
No. 3:13-cv-3349 SI

*Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City  
Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. AU Optronics  
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:10-cv-5625 SI

*CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. AU Optronics  
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-6241 SI

*Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. v. AU Optronics  
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:13-cv-6001 SI

*Interbond Corp. of America v. AU Optronics  
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-3763 SI

*MetroPCS Wireless, Inc. v. AU Optronics  
Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-829 SI

*NECO Alliance LLC v. AU Optronics Corp., et  
al.*, Case No. 3:12-cv-1426 SI

*Office Depot, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:11-cv-2225 SI

1 *P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp. et al., v.*  
2 *AU Optronics Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-  
4119 SI

3 *Proview Technology, Inc. v. AU Optronics*  
4 *Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:12-cv-3802 SI

5 *S.B. Liquidation Trust v. AU Optronics Corp., et*  
6 *al.*, Case No. 3:10-cv-5458 SI

7 *Schultze Agency Servs. LLC, on behalf of*  
8 *Tweeter Opco. LLC and Tweeter Newco, LLC v.*  
9 *AU Optronics Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-  
3856 SI

10 *Sony Elec., Inc. v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.*,  
11 Case No. 3:12-cv-2214 SI

12 *State of Oregon v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.*,  
13 Case No. 3:10-cv-4346 SI

14 *Tech Data Corp., et al. v. AU Optronics Corp.,*  
15 *et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-5765 SI

16 *Tracfone Wireless, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corp.,*  
17 *et al.*, Case No. 3:10-cv-3205 SI

18 \_\_\_\_\_ /

19

20 On April 23, 2014, the Court held a case management conference in the remaining Track 2 and  
21 Track 3 cases, as well as the case brought by the State of Oregon, *State of Oregon v. AU Optronics*  
22 *Corp., et al.*, C 10-4346 SI.

23 The Court GRANTED the administrative motion for substitution of liaison counsel for direct  
24 action plaintiffs. Docket No. 8957. The Court hereby appoints David B. Esau of the firm Carlton Fields  
25 Jordan Burt as Liaison Counsel for the Direct Action Plaintiffs. Carl Blumenstein will continue in his  
26 role as Liaison Counsel for defendants. The Court will issue a separate order regarding AUO's  
27 administrative motion regarding liaison counsel for the direct and indirect purchaser classes. Docket  
28 No. 8963.

As discussed during the conference, there are thirteen remaining Track 2 cases. Mr. Blumenstein  
informed the Court that one of those cases, *SB Liquidation Trust*, C 10-5458 SI, has settled and that the  
parties expect to file a dismissal shortly. In the *Sony* case, C 12-2214 SI, the parties will file a stipulated  
judgment so the parties can proceed directly to an appeal in the Ninth Circuit. At the conclusion of

1 pretrial proceedings in this Court, ten of the Track 2 cases will be remanded to their respective transferor  
2 courts. Those cases are *AASI Creditor Liquidating Trust*, C 11-5781 SI; *Interbond Corp.*, C 11-3763 SI;  
3 *CompuCom*, C 11-6241 SI; *MetroPCS Wireless*, C 11-829 SI; *NECO Alliance*, C 12-1426 SI; *Office*  
4 *Depot*, C 11-2225 SI; *P.C. Richard & Son*, C 11-4119 SI; *Tech Data Corp.*, C 11-5765 SI; *Tracfone*  
5 *Wireless*, C 10-3205 SI; and *Schultze Agency Services LLC*, C 11-3856 SI.

6 The final Track 2 case, *Alfred H. Siegel*, C10-5625 SI (“*Circuit City*”), and the three Track 3  
7 cases (*Acer*, C 13-3349 SI; *Proview*, C 12-3802 SI; and *Home Depot*, C 13-6001 SI), were originally  
8 filed in this Court. The Court directed plaintiffs’ counsel in these cases to meet and confer with  
9 defendants’ liaison counsel regarding a further pretrial schedule for the Track 3 cases, as well as  
10 proposed trial dates for all four cases. As the Court informed the parties, it is the Court’s expectation  
11 that these cases can be tried before the end of 2015, with the *Circuit City* trial taking place during the  
12 summer of 2015. The parties shall file a proposed pretrial schedule for the Track 3 cases, and proposed  
13 trial dates for *Circuit City* and the Track 3 cases, by **May 7, 2014**. The Court also GRANTED counsel’s  
14 request for a one week extension of the deadline to file supplemental briefs on the motion to dismiss  
15 *Proview*’s state claims; the supplemental briefs are now due **May 9, 2014**.

16 Finally, the *Oregon* case, C 10-4346 SI, is proceeding on a separate pretrial schedule, and that  
17 case will be remanded to the transferor court after the conclusion of pretrial proceedings in this Court.

18  
19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20  
21 Dated: April 23, 2014

22   
23 SUSAN ILLSTON  
24 United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28