1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Douglas R. Young (Bar No. 073248)  dyoung@fbm.com  C. Brandon Wisoff (Bar No. 121930)  bwisoff@fbm.com  Farella Braun + Martel LLP  235 Montgomery St., 17th Floor  San Francisco, CA 94104  Telephone: (415) 954-4400  Facsimile: (415) 954-4480  James A. Wilson (Pro hac vice)  jawilson@vorys.com  Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP  52 E. Gay St.  Columbus, OH 43215  Telephone: (614) 464-6400  Facsimile: (614) 464-6350  Attorneys for Defendant  OCLC ONLINE COMPUTER  LIBRARY CENTER, INC.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15 16 17	SKYRIVER TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS, LLC, a California limited liability company, and INNOVATIVE INTERFACES, INC, a California corporation,,	Case No. 3:10-cv-03305-JSW  [PROPOSED] ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
18	Plaintiffs,	Date: October 29, 2010
19	VS.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Judge: Jeffrey S. White Courtroom: 11, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor
20	OCLC ONLINE COMPUTER LIBRARY	
21	CENTER, Inc., an Ohio non-profit corporation,,	[28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a)]
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400	[PROPOSED] ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO Case No. 10-cv-03305-JSW	25955\2366174.1

1	The Court, having considered the motion of Defendant OCLC Online Computer Library		
2	Center, Inc. (hereafter "Defendant" or "OCLC") requesting transfer of this case to the Southern		
3	District of Ohio under 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a) finds that:		
4	1. This case could have brought in the Southern District of Ohio as that court		
5	possesses proper subject matter jurisdiction over this action, personal jurisdiction over OCLC,		
6	and the events giving rise to the claim occurred in that forum;		
7	2. The convenience of the parties and witnesses and access to relevant evidence is		
8	served by a transfer to the Southern District of Ohio, which is the location of the principal places		
9	of business of OCLC and the principal location of the marketing, development, and sale of the		
10	accused services;		
11	3. A California court has little interest in this controversy, whereas an Ohio court has		
12	a demonstrable interest in the controversy; and		
13	4. Plaintiff does not have a strong interest in maintaining this suit in the Northern		
14	District of California.		
15			
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT		
17	This case is transferred to the Eastern Division of the Southern District of Ohio located in		
18	Columbus, Ohio.		
19	Dated:, 2010		
20			
21			
22	Hon. Jeffrey S. White United States District Court		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			