

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL E. DAVIS, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. [10-cv-03328-RS](#)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO AMEND**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), plaintiffs’ motion for leave to amend has been submitted without oral argument. In light of the liberal policy favoring amendment, and given no showing of prejudice or undue delay to an extent that would warrant denial, the motion will be granted. Nothing in this order, however, or in the filing of the amended complaint, shall serve to revive the statutory claims as to which partial summary judgment in favor of defendant has been granted. Nor does this order preclude defendant from moving to dismiss or strike portions of the amended pleading, although it presently appears likely that defendant’s contentions regarding the alleged class definitions could be more efficiently addressed in any renewed motion for class certification, rather than through a motion to strike. Discovery will not be reopened absent a specific and compelling showing, made by noticed motion, that any of the amendments warrant further discovery.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 4, 2017



RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge