

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff MPH Technology OY and
2 Defendants Check Point Software Technologies, Ltd., Check Point Software Technologies, Inc.
3 and NETGEAR, Inc., by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to
4 and request as follows:

5
6 1. On December 3, 2010, the Court issued a Case Management Scheduling Order
7 (Docket No. 120) setting various deadlines, including the deadline for the parties to file their Joint
8 Claim Construction Statement (“JCCS”). The parties’ JCCS is currently due on April 1, 2011.

9
10 2. The parties have exchanged proposed constructions, and have been diligently
11 meeting and conferring on their respective proposed constructions in accordance with the Patent
12 Local Rules. The parties have not yet completed their meet and confer discussions and believe that
13 a short extension of time to file their JCCS would facilitate possible resolution of some of their
14 outstanding issues, which would result in limiting the scope of their claim construction disputes.

15
16 3. Accordingly, the parties stipulate to and propose that the deadline for filing their
17 JCCS statement be extended by four (4) days from April 1, 2011 to April 5, 2011 to facilitate
18 their meet and confer. The extension requested herein will not affect any other deadlines set by
19 the Court, including the date presently scheduled for the Claim Construction hearing.

20
21 4. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2(a)(1)-(3), this stipulated request is accompanied by the
22 Declaration of Adaline J. Hilgard setting forth (a) the reasons for the requested rescheduling; (b)
23 all previous time modifications to the Court's Case Management Scheduling Order (Dkt. No.
24 120); and (c) the effect of the requested rescheduling.

1 DATED: March 30, 2011.

2 NIRO, HALLER & NIRO

3 By /s/ Brian E. Haan
4 Brian E. Haan
5 Counsel for Plaintiff MPH Technologies OY

6 REED SMITH LLP

7 By /s/ Adaline J. Hilgard
8 Adaline J. Hilgard *
9 Attorneys for Defendants Check Point Software
10 Technologies, Inc. and Check Point Software
11 Technologies Ltd.

12 FAEGRE & BENSON LLP

13 By /s/ Mary V. Sooter
14 Mary V. Sooter
15 Counsel for Defendant NETGEAR, Inc.

16 **Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures,
17 Adaline J. Hilgard hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.*

18 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

19 Dated: 3/31/11

20 
21 _____
22 United States District Judge Richard Seeborg