| | UNITED STATES DIS | TRICT COURT |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| | NORTHERN DISTRICT | |
| | SAN FRANCISCO | |
| | | |
| In re ORACLE COR LITIGATION | RPORATION DERIVATIVE | Master File No. C-10-03392-RS; Case No. C 11-04493-RS |
| SCOTT OZAKI, der ORACLE CORPOR | rivatively and on behalf of ATION, | [PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE |
| v. | Plaintiff, | |
| | | Date: July 11, 2013 Time: 1:30 p.m. |
| JEFFREY O. HENL | LISON, SAFRA A. CATZ, EY, MICHAEL J. BOSKIN, IGHAM, DONALD L. | Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg Ctrm:3, 17 th Floor |
| LUCAS, JEFFREY CHIZEN, HECTOR | S. BERG, BRUCE R. GARCIA-MOLINA, NAOMI | |
| O. SELIGMAN, and | I GEORGE H. CONRADES, | |
| -and- | Defendants, | |
| ORACLE CORPOR Corporation, | ATION, a Delaware | |
| , , | Nominal Defendant. | |
| | Trommar Dorondant. | |
| | | |
| | | |

WHEREAS, the parties have made application, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1, for an order (i) preliminarily approving the settlement (the "Settlement") of these actions (collectively, the "Derivative Actions"), in accordance with a Stipulation of Settlement dated May 22, 2013 (the "Stipulation"), which, together with the Exhibits attached thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed Settlement and dismissal of the Derivative Actions with prejudice, upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and (ii) approving distribution of the Notice of Proposed Settlement ("Notice"); and

WHEREAS, all capitalized terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation (in addition to those capitalized terms defined herein); and

WHEREAS, the Court having considered the Stipulation and the Exhibits attached thereto and having heard the arguments of the Settling Parties at the preliminary approval hearing;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court hereby preliminarily approves, subject to further consideration at the Settlement Hearing described below, the Stipulation and the Settlement set forth therein, including the terms and conditions for settlement and dismissal with prejudice of the Derivative Actions.
- 2. A hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") shall be held before this Court on September 26, 2013, at 1:30 p.m., at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Phillip Burton Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, to determine whether the Settlement on the terms and conditions provided for in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate to Current Oracle Shareholders and to Oracle Corporation ("Oracle") and should be granted final approval by the Court; to determine whether a Judgment as provided in Paragraph 1.11 of the Stipulation should be entered herein; and to award attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- 3. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice and Summary Notice attached as Exhibit A hereto, and finds that the distribution of the Notice and Summary Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in this Order meets the requirements of Federal

Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled thereto.

- 4. Not later than ten (10) calendar days following entry of this Order, Oracle shall cause a copy of the Summary Notice to be published once in the national edition of *Investor's Business Daily* and a copy of the Notice to be posted in the investor relations section of Oracle's website substantially in the forms attached as Exhibit A hereto.
- 5. At least seven (7) calendar days before the Settlement Hearing, Defendants' counsel shall serve on counsel for the Plaintiffs and file with the Court proof, by affidavit or declaration, of the publication and posting of the Notice as required under Paragraph 4.
- 6. All Current Oracle Shareholders shall be bound by all orders, determinations, and judgments in the Derivative Actions concerning the Settlement, whether favorable or unfavorable to Current Oracle Shareholders.
- 7. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, no Current Oracle Shareholder, either directly, representatively, or in any other capacity, shall commence or prosecute against any of the Defendants any action or proceeding in any court or tribunal asserting any of the Released Claims.
- 8. All papers in support of final approval of the Settlement and the award of attorneys' fees and expenses shall be filed with the Court and served at least thirty-five (35) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing, and any reply briefs shall be filed fourteen (14) calendar days before the Settlement Hearing.
- 9. Any Current Oracle Shareholder may appear and show cause, if he, she, or it has any, why the Settlement should not be granted final approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate, or why a judgment should not be entered thereon, or why attorneys' fees and expenses should not be awarded to Plaintiffs' counsel; provided, however, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, no Current Oracle Shareholder shall be heard or entitled to contest the final approval of the terms and conditions of the Settlement or, if granted final approval, the Judgment to be entered thereon approving the same, or the attorneys' fees and expenses to be awarded to Plaintiffs' counsel,

| 1 | unless that Person has filed with the Clerk of the Court at the address listed below and served on | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | the following counsel (delivered by hand or sent by first-class mail) appropriate proof of Oracle | | |
| 3 | stock ownership, along with written objections, including the basis therefor, and copies of any | | |
| 4 | papers and briefs in support thereof such that they are received no later than twenty-one (21) | | |
| 5 | calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing. | | |
| 6 | Mark C. Molumphy | | |
| 7 | COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center | | |
| 8 | 840 Malcolm Road, Ste. 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 | | |
| 9 | Counsel for Plaintiffs | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Jordan Eth Philip T. Besirof | | |
| 12 | MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street | | |
| 13 | San Francisco, CA 94105 | | |
| 14 | Counsel for Nominal Defendant Oracle and the Individual Defendants | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | Clerk of the Court UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 17 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Phillip Burton Federal Building and United States Courthouse | | |
| 18 | 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 | | |
| 19 | Any Current Oracle Shareholder who does not make his, her, or its objection in the manner | | |
| 20 | provided for herein shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be | | |
| 21 | foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the | | |
| 22 | Settlement as incorporated in the Stipulation and to the award of attorneys' fees and expenses to | | |
| 23 | Plaintiffs' counsel, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, but shall otherwise be bound by the | | |
| 24 | Judgment to be entered and the releases to be given. | | |
| 25 | 10. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document | | |
| 26 | executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be | | |
| 27 | deemed to be or may be offered, attempted to be offered, or used in any way by any of the | | |
| 28 | 3 | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | Settling Parties as a presumption, a concession, or an admission of, or evidence of, any fault, | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | wrongdoing, or liability of the Defendants or of the validity of any of the Released Claims; or (b) | | |
| 3 | is intended by the Settling Parties to be offered or received as evidence or used by any other | | |
| 4 | person in any other action or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, or administrative. The Released | | |
| 5 | Persons may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment in any action that may be brought against | | |
| 6 | them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral | | |
| 7 | estoppel, full faith and credit, release, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any | | |
| 8 | other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim. | | |
| 9 | 11. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Settlement Hearing or | | |
| 10 | modify any other dates set forth herein without further notice to Current Oracle Shareholders, and | | |
| 11 | retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the | | |
| 12 | Settlement. The Court may approve the Settlement, with such modifications as may be agreed to | | |
| 13 | by the Settling Parties, if appropriate, without further notice to Current Oracle Shareholders. | | |
| 14 | IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 7/17 . 2013 | | |
| 15 | DATED:, 2013 | | |
| 16 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |