UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 5 In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST Master Docket No. C M:07-01827 SI LITIGATION 6 MDL No. 1827 This Document Relates To: 7 [PROPOSED] ORDER Jaco Electronics, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation, 8 et al., Case 11-cv-02495-SI 9 Rockwell Automation, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case 12-cv-02495-SI 10 ViewSonic Corporation v. AU Optronics 11 Corporation, et al., Case 12-cv-00335-SI 12 13 The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing 14 therefore, orders as follows: 15 1. Claims asserted by Plaintiff Jaco Electronics, Inc. against Defendants Epson 16 Imaging Devices Corporation and Epson Electronics America, Inc. are dismissed with prejudice 17 pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 18 2. Claims asserted by Plaintiff Rockwell Automation, Inc. against Defendants Epson 19 Imaging Devices Corporation and Epson Electronics America, Inc. are dismissed with prejudice 20 pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 21 3. Claims asserted by Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation against Defendants Epson 22 Imaging Devices Corporation and Epson Electronics America, Inc. are dismissed with prejudice 23 pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 24 4. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees. 25 26 27 28

1	5. This Order does not affect the rights or claims of Plaintiffs against any other defendant or alleged co-conspirator in the above-captioned litigations.		
2			
3			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	This $_{-1}$	1thday of _March 2013	
7			Suran Illaton
8			Hon. Susan Y. Illston
9			United States District Court Judge
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			