

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
 JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)
 2 john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com
 JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134)
 3 jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com
 FUSAE NARA (*pro hac vice*)
 4 fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com
 ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479)
 5 andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com
 50 Fremont Street
 6 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
 7 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

8 Attorneys for Defendants
 SHARP CORPORATION and
 9 SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14

15 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION
16
17 This Document Relates To:
18 Case No. 2010-CV-3517 SI
19 STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE 20 ATTORNEY GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS,
21 Plaintiff,
22 v.
23 AU Optronics Corporation, <i>et al.</i> ,
24 Defendants.
25

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI
 MDL No. 1827

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME
 TO ANSWER AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

26

27

28

1 WHEREAS plaintiff State of Florida filed an Amended Complaint in the above-
2 captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation
3 America, Chi Mei Innolux Corp., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA,
4 Inc., Hannstar Display Corporation, Hitachi Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic
5 Devices (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America Inc., Samsung Electronics
6 Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp
7 Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display
8 Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America
9 Electronic Components, Inc. on April 13, 2011, Dkt. no. 2652;

10 WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,
11 “Sharp”), jointly with other defendants in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the
12 Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011;

13 WHEREAS the Court denied defendants’ joint motion to dismiss the Amended
14 Complaint on September 15, 2011;

15 WHEREAS Sharp desires a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended
16 Complaint; and

17 WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in
18 this case.

19 THEREFORE, the State of Florida and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp’s deadline to
20 answer to the Amended Complaint shall be November 7, 2011.

21 Dated: October 7, 2011

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

