

1 Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
 Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)
 2 Sandra West (SBN 250389)
 Samantha H. Knox (SBN 254427)
 3 Micah G. Block (SBN 270712)
 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
 4 1600 El Camino Real
 Menlo Park, California 94025
 5 Telephone: (650) 752-2000
 Facsimile: (650) 752-2111
 6

Jonathan D. Martin (*pro hac vice*)
 7 Bradley R. Hansen (*pro hac vice*)
 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
 8 450 Lexington Avenue
 New York, New York 10017
 9 Telephone: (212) 450-4000
 Facsimile: (212) 701-5800
 10

Attorneys for Defendants
 11 *Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei*
Optoelectronics Corp.), Chi Mei Optoelectronics
 12 *USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

13
 14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 15 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 16 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

16	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL))	CASE NO. 3:10-cv-3517
17	ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	
)	MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI
18	This Document Relates to Individual)	
	Case No. 3:10-cv-3517-SI)	
19	STATE OF FLORIDA,)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
20)	ORDER REGARDING TIME TO
	Plaintiffs,)	RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT
21	v.)	
22	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
23)	
	Defendants.)	
24)	

25
 26
 27
 28

1 The undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, hereby respectfully request
2 an extension of the deadline for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei
3 Optoelectronics Corporation), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.
4 (collectively, the “Chi Mei Defendants”), and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and
5 Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the “Hitachi Defendants”), to respond to the
6 amended complaint filed by Plaintiff State of Florida on April 13, 2011, in the above-captioned
7 litigation (the “Amended Complaint”).

8 WHEREAS the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, jointly with other Defendants
9 in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011;

10 WHEREAS the Court entered an order denying Defendants’ joint motion to dismiss the
11 Amended Complaint on September 15, 2011;

12 WHEREAS on September 29, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending Defendants’
13 deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to October 28, 2011;

14 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants have agreed to a
15 settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation;

16 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Hitachi Defendants have agreed to a
17 settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation, subject to board approval;

18 WHEREAS the parties would benefit from additional time to continue to negotiate the
19 terms of that settlement;

20 WHEREAS extending the time for the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants to
21 answer the Amended Complaint would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already
22 fixed by the Court;

23 THEREFORE, Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi
24 Defendants, by their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

25 The Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants will have until November 11,
26 2011 to answer the Amended Complaint.

27
28

1 Dated: October 24, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

2
3 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
STATE OF FLORIDA

4
5 By: /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer
6 Nicholas J. Weilhammer

7 R. Scott Palmer
8 Lizabeth A. Brady
9 Nicholas J. Weilhammer (*pro hac vice*)
10 Eli Friedman
11 Office of the Attorney General
12 State of Florida
13 PL-01, The Capitol
14 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
15 (850) 414-3300 / (850) 488-9134
16 nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com

17
18 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

19
20 By: /s/ Sandra West
21 Sandra West

22 Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
23 Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)
24 Sandra West (SBN 250389)
25 1600 El Camino Real
26 Menlo Park, CA 94025
27 (650) 752-2000 / (650) 752-2111
28 chris.hockett@davispolk.com
neal.potischman@davispolk.com
sandra.west@davispolk.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux
Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.),
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan
Co., Ltd.*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: /s/ Kent M. Roger
 Kent M. Roger

Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 442-1001
Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

*Attorney for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi
Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices
(USA), Inc.*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all parties whose signatures are indicated by a “confirmed” signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

Dated: October 24, 2011

/s/ Sandra West
Sandra West (SBN 250389)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered the foregoing stipulation, and for good cause appearing,
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/25, 2011



The Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Judge